

Chapter 2: Prehistoric and Historic Ecological Changes

Question 2.1: What were the historic and prehistoric ecological conditions in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands?

Change occurs constantly in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands, as it does in all ecosystems. Since the last glacial period, 20,000 years ago, when continental glaciers approached the Highlands, climate, natural communities, and species have been in constant flux. Even now, natural occurrences such as droughts, floods, and tornadoes cause dramatic changes in the landscape and in relationships among species. Such events are not only natural, they are vital to the way ecosystems function.

Human activities also cause changes. Some activities may only alter patterns of “natural change” including, for example, prevention and suppression of fire, some forms of timber management, and controlled hunting and fishing. Such activities may affect biodiversity—the variety of species interacting within an ecosystem. They may also alter the structure or dynamic processes of an ecosystem. Where natural processes are significantly altered, ecosystems can be stressed and vulnerable to further damage.

Some activities, such as conversion of forest land for agriculture, mineral extraction, plantation-based timber production, or urban development, can cause large-scale changes that reduce and/or fragment wildlife habitat for some species, which, if sufficiently severe, can mean their extinction. Such changes may completely supplant long-standing ecological relationships and cause revolutionary, rather than evolutionary effects. Contamination of groundwater, introduction of nonnative species, and overhunting of game are other human actions that fundamentally change ecosystems.

The interaction of different change factors, or what ecologists often call “disturbance factors,” has consequences, as well. For example, floods in heavily managed or developed watersheds may be more destructive than in less altered watersheds. Even activities outside the local ecosystem may cause effects within it, such as altered climate or acid rain.

An understanding of earlier conditions helps research scientists and managers evaluate the ecological potentials of various landscapes or sites and identify opportunities for appropriate management actions. If shortleaf pine production or pine woodland restoration is an objective, it is useful to know the prehistoric range of this species and what kept it from dominating in other areas. In developing landscape management plans, it is important to know what percentage of the landscape was typically in a regenerating condition at any point in time, how regeneration took place, how much was woodland or prairie, how much was “old growth,” and the dynamic equilibrium that existed among these various states which, together, sustained the biota.

Knowledge of historic vegetation and patterns of change aids in the identification of current old-growth areas and selection of appropriate management techniques for them. It also provides a useful baseline for evaluating the effects of management on natural systems. Differences between structure and function of existing and historic forests and between effects of management techniques and natural disturbance processes may be estimated using information about past vegetation.

Key Findings

1. American Indians influenced vegetation patterns through their use of fire.
2. European settlers began making dramatic changes to the land commencing in the 1830's through land clearing and the suppression of fire; settlers also had an impact on animals by reducing certain habitats and by overhunting.
3. Because people have been a constant influence on plant communities and ecosystems of the Highlands for thousands of years, ideas of “natural” (i.e., not human-influenced) conditions need to be reviewed carefully, even challenged.

Data Sources

Clues to the composition and structure of the Highlands in history and prehistory are provided by historical descriptions, evidence in old-growth forests and natural areas, tree rings and pollen evidence, and field notes of General Land Office (GLO) surveyors of the 19th century.

Travel accounts and other historic descriptions are important sources of information on past conditions. Dunbar and Hunter led an expedition, commissioned by Thomas Jefferson, to the hot springs of the Ouachita Mountains in 1804 and 1805 (Rowland 1930). Edwin James (1823), botanist for the Stephen Long expedition to the Rocky Mountains in 1819–1820, described the Ouachita Mountains and Arkansas Valley. Thomas Nuttall (1821) provided a very detailed description of the Arkansas Valley and the western portions of the Ouachita Mountains. Henry Rowe Schoolcraft's (1821) account of his 1818 and 1819 travels through the Ozarks is the most widely cited description of that region. Gerstacker (1881) provided descriptions of the Ouachitas and Ozarks of the late 1830's, at approximately the time of the GLO surveys. Ladd (1991) provided a comprehensive survey of historic references to vegetation and fire in Missouri, including the Missouri Ozarks.

GLO surveys of parts of the Assessment area are important sources. Foti and Glenn (1991) used notes from the original 1830's Federal land survey to analyze vegetation at three locations in the Assessment area: a site east of Waldron, AR, at the southern edge of the Arkansas Valley Mountains subsection, known as Bee Mountain; a site south of Waldron, in the Fourche Mountains, that currently supports a red-cockaded woodpecker population; and a north-to-south transect crossing the Fourche Mountains, Western Ouachita Mountains, and Athens Piedmont Plateau subsections near the Arkansas-Oklahoma State line, covering more of the range of sites of the region.

In addition, Kreiter (1995) analyzed historic vegetation of the McCurtain County Wilderness Area, an old-growth forest that has not been subject to timber harvest in the Central Ouachita Mountains subsection of

eastern Oklahoma. He used GLO Survey notes from 1896 and compared them to a new survey of vegetation at the same points. Lockhart and others (1995) and Harmon and others (1996) used GLO and modern data to characterize the vegetation of the Lee Creek Unit of the Ozark-St. Francis National Forests. Nelson (1997) analyzed witness trees and narrative notes along the 5th Principal Meridian through Arkansas and Missouri, comparing statistics of the Ozark Plateau (principally in Missouri), the Mississippi Alluvial Plain (in Arkansas), and the Dissected Till Plain (in Missouri). Schroeder (1982) used GLO notes and maps to map the presettlement distribution of prairies in Missouri. Finally, Fletcher and McDermott (1957) used historic sources to map the presettlement range of shortleaf pine in the Ozark Highlands.

All historic sources must be used with caution, since many writers are not scientists and their descriptions are not often subject to independent verification. However, all of the travel writers listed above except Gerstacker were scientists. In several instances their travel routes have been followed and key findings verified. Of these, Schoolcraft may be the most controversial, since he was cited by both sides in a rancorous dispute over vegetation of the Ozarks (Beilman and Brenner 1951, Steyermark 1959), where Beilman and Brenner argued for rapid change in vegetation in the Highlands whereas Steyermark argued for stability. However, when read as a whole, the Terrestrial Team considers his account a reliable historic source. The GLO surveys have been widely used and widely criticized, since they represent the only comprehensive, quantitative data on vegetation of the early to mid-1800's, and yet were not collected for scientific purposes by scientists. Their validity should be assessed on a township-by-township basis before placing reliance on them.

Grazing data reflects the Forest Service's Grazing Statistical Summary and the Natural Resource Conservation Service's National Resource Inventory, as well as published reports. Data concerning the volume of grazing on national forest lands are reported in Animal Unit Months while, for other lands, the data consist of acreage devoted to grazing.

Patterns and Trends

Major Changes in Vegetation

As recently as 20,000 years ago, continental glaciers advanced near the Highlands (to central Illinois). Although glaciers have never encroached on the Highlands proper, climatic effects during glacial periods totally changed the region's ecosystems. Cool, damp, glacial-front climate led to dominance of boreal spruce, fir, and jack pine forests throughout the region for about 6,000 years after the latest glacial maximum.

Oak, ash, elm, and other deciduous trees became dominant around 14,000 years ago and prairies became established in eastern Oklahoma about 2,000 years later (Delcourt and Delcourt 1991). The oak-hickory woodlands and forests characteristic of the region today may have persisted in sheltered coves throughout the glacial interval and subsequently increased in abundance or retreated elsewhere and returned. Presence of numerous endemic species in the Highlands flora and fauna argues for at least some continuity of the biota even during these periods of dramatic change (Hawker n.d.).

Some 10,000 years ago, at the same time that humans arrived in the Highlands, the climate became warmer and drier for a period of several thousand years, allowing expansion of prairies, oak savannas, and oak-hickory forests or woodlands (Delcourt and Delcourt 1991). As prairies and savannas spread over the region, mesic (moist soil) oak-hickory forest communities again retreated to sheltered coves and moister sites or migrated away from the region.

Only in the past several thousand years has climate in the region changed enough to support an upland hardwood forest, and only during this latest interval (the past 4,000 years) has pine forest become dominant in parts of the region (Delcourt and Delcourt 1991). Over this interval, a prairie-dominated landscape changed to a forest-dominated landscape with inclusions of prairie (Albert and Wyckoff 1981). Even during the last 550 years there have been at least three dry intervals severe enough to reduce pine dominance in the Ouachitas (Albert and Wyckoff 1981).

American Indians played a part in shaping these changes in vegetation. At least in portions of the Highlands, Indian populations may have peaked in the 16th century at the time of De Soto's incursion, after

which smallpox and other factors reduced their numbers. Prior to that time, productive areas were settled and agriculture was practiced. Even small populations could have had major effects on the landscape through their use of fire.

European settlers began making major changes in the region's landscapes by the 1830's, both through clearing of land and changes in natural processes such as fire regimes. This trend reached a peak from the late 18th to early 19th centuries, when railroads carried away much of the standing timber and brought farmers and even tourists, causing massive and irreversible changes in the landscapes of the Highlands. Forests became shrubby second growth or cotton fields that were abandoned and only after decades became forests again. Fires often increased in intensity and frequency as the slash dried and burned and then decreased as areas became more settled. Open woodlands, savannas, and prairies became forests or shrubby thickets.

Changes in Wildlife and Plant Populations

Expanding settlements caused long-term changes in the populations of game species. Deer populations in the Highlands have fluctuated greatly, from abundance in the early 1800's to near extirpation in the early 1900's. Deer recovery began in the 1930's (but only reached substantial proportions decades later) with closed seasons, strict law enforcement, and restocking (Halls 1984). Refuges on national forest lands also supported the recovery of deer populations in the Assessment area.

Early reports of eastern wild turkeys in the Highlands suggest densities of 5 to 10 birds per square mile. By the early 1900's, the bird's population was drastically lower over most of the region (Lewis 1992), due to overharvesting. By the 1940's, only isolated populations remained. Habitat for wild turkeys began to improve on public lands after initiation of fire and timber management programs and the closing of "open range"—areas where anyone's stock was allowed to graze.

The black bear was a common resident of the Highlands during the 1800's but was rare by 1850 because of overhunting (McKinley 1962). During the period from 1890 to 1920, much of the Highlands' forest was systematically logged and cleared, eliminating the black bear population from the region (Clark 1988). The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission successfully

re-established black bears in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands of Arkansas between 1959 and 1967 (Rodgers 1973, Pharris 1981). Since then, populations have grown and expanded, increasing the sightings of bears in adjacent areas of Oklahoma and Missouri.

At times, the Highlands have had large populations of gray and fox squirrels. During the 19th century, individual hunters could easily kill more than 100 squirrels a day. The “big squirrel kills” were a thing of the past by 1934, due to habitat reductions.

Clearing of forests supported expanding populations of bobwhite quail, with the bird’s numbers peaking immediately after areas were cleared for agriculture, then abandoned. But populations declined by the 1920’s as land use became more intensive. Populations stabilized by the 1940’s, albeit at lower levels than historically, to provide consistent bird crops, but fluctuated again in the 1960’s (Stanford 1970).

Similarly, clearing of forests led to expanding populations of eastern cottontail rabbits, which inhabit prairies, glades, and open woods with grassy understories. The rabbit reached a population peak during the pioneer agricultural period (Anderson 1940). “Ozark” rabbits were said to command a premium price because of their size and grading. During the early 1900’s, Springfield, MO, was the largest reshipping center in the region, with an annual output of 2 million rabbits (Leopold 1931).

Raccoon populations have increased in the past 50 years. A population explosion began with the 1943 breeding season, and the species has remained at high levels since (Sanderson 1987). It is estimated today there are 15 to 20 times as many raccoons in North America as there were during the 1930’s. (See Chapter 5 for recent trends for game species.)

At least 25 species of terrestrial plants, vertebrates, and invertebrates existing historically in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands are extirpated. (An extirpated species, as used here, is one eliminated as a wild species from all or part of its historical range.) Mammal and bird species congregating in large numbers, including bison and Carolina parakeets, or which people considered destructive predators, such as golden eagles and mountain lions, are gone from the Highlands landscape (although the occasional reintroduced bison can be spotted in a few pastures).

Major factors contributing to the extirpation of these species in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands included loss

of habitat and overhunting. Plant species at the edges of their ranges and parts of rare communities also have been vulnerable to loss of habitat and to extirpation (see “Rare Communities” in Chapter 3). The following species have been extirpated in the Assessment area (and, in some cases, throughout their range):

Species	Major factor in extirpation
American swallow-tailed kite	Loss of habitat
Bison	Overhunting
Black-fruit mountain-ricegrass	Loss of habitat
Black lordithon rove beetle	Unknown
Carolina parakeet	Overhunting
Clustered poppy-mallow	Loss of habitat
Creamflower tick-trefoil	Loss of habitat
Ditch-grass	Loss of habitat
Eastern prairie white-fringed orchid	Loss of habitat
Eaton’s lipfern	Loss of habitat
Field sedge	Loss of habitat
Golden eagle	Predator control
Horsetail spikerush	Loss of habitat
Ivory billed woodpecker	Loss of habitat
Marsh blazing star	Loss of habitat
Missouri blackberry	Loss of habitat
Mountain lion	Predator control
Northern raven	Predator control
Osprey	Predator control
Passenger pigeon	Overhunting
Peregrine falcon	Predator control
Red wolf	Predator control
Torrey’s bulrush	Loss of habitat
Yellowleaf tinker’s-weed	Loss of habitat

Historic Changes by Ecological Section

Historic accounts and GLO data reveal more details about the historic period in various ecological sections and subsections of the Highlands. (Ecological units are displayed in fig. 1.1.)

Ozark Highlands

Schoolcraft’s account of the White River country in 1818–1819 indicates rich biodiversity and varied ecological communities in the Ozark Highlands. His daily log, with distances traveled and vegetation encountered each day, is an invaluable record of the area he crossed (all page references for this section are to Rafferty 1996).

He described the Meramec River Hills subsection as “hills crowned with oaks” (p. 21), then “yellow pine [and] the soil being sterile, and vegetation scanty” (p. 22) with rich forest lands along the Fourche a Courtois (p. 23) followed by “a succession of sterile ridges, thinly covered with oaks” (p. 24). The Osage Fork of the Meramec had “extensive prairies all along its banks” (p. 24). He also found “barren prairie country” (p. 26). The Current River Hills subsection had “lofty forests of pine” and along the Current River the “soil [was] rich and covered with a heavy growth of trees” (p. 26), as well as ridges covered “thinly with yellow pine, and shrubby oaks . . .” (p. 35).

He described the Central Plateau subsection as “highland prairie, with little timber, or underbrush and covered with grass. It is a level woodless barren covered with wild grass and resembling the natural meadows or prairies of the western country in appearance, but lacks their fertility, their wood, and their remarkable equality of surface” (p. 35–36).

In the White River Hills subsection, on the headwaters of the North Fork of White River, travel was initially over “rich bottom lands, covered with elm, beech, oak, maple, sycamore and ash” (p. 41). Turning west from the stream “to completely disengage ourselves from the pine-forest . . . we found ourselves on an open barren, with very little timber . . . we passed over a sterile soil, destitute of wood” (p. 44). Following a tributary to the west, Schoolcraft found the going rough, owing to thickets along the stream. Attempting to cross canebrakes and a swamp, his horse became mired: “sunk in soft black mud so deep that the upper part of his back and head were only visible” (p. 58). He and his companion eventually extricated the horse from what must have been a deep muck fen, an unusual community type in the Ozarks.

In the White River Hills subsection Schoolcraft found cane thickets and forests of oak, ash, maple, walnut, mulberry, sycamore, hickory, and elm on alluvial soils. He found prairies of coarse grass and “scanty” timber on the limestone hills and “bald mountains.” He was most taken with the Springfield Plain and a 2-mile-wide strip of vigorous forest bordering the James River, within extensive prairies covered with tall grasses.

Nelson’s (1997) study of tree densities in various physiographic sections indicated that open woodlands

were more common in the Ozarks and the Till Plain, whereas closed forests prevailed on the bottomlands of the Mississippi Alluvial Plain. Soil conditions were often described as harsh and no doubt played a role in forest structure, but fire also probably played a part (Nelson 1997). “Thinly timbered” conditions were described in 8.8 percent of GLO mile notes for the Ozarks, and an average of only eight trees per acre prevailed in these places, indicating savanna communities (Nelson 1997). Only one prairie and one glade were recorded.

Similarly, using all GLO notes in Missouri, Schroeder (1982) mapped few prairies outside of the Springfield Plain and Osage Plain. However, even some areas described as “heavily timbered” were also described as having grassy ground cover, indicating relatively open, periodically burned conditions (Ladd 1991).

Pine was especially prominent where the topography was rolling to steep and the sandstone component of the residuum was high. Inadequate winter precipitation limited pine to the southeastern part of this section. Deeper loess deposits, the presence of soil fragipans, and the Jefferson City geologic formation also were barriers to pine. The Current River Hills subsection and parts of surrounding subsections comprised the heart of shortleaf pine country in Missouri (Fletcher and McDermott 1957).

Much historic vegetation in the Ozark Highlands section remains today: upland hardwood forests, pine forests, open oak woodlands, bottomland forests, mesic hardwood forests, prairies, and even fens. Primary changes between 1819 and today are that fertile prairies have been cultivated; many of the poor prairies, barrens, and open woodlands have grown more woody and dense due to fire suppression; and most large bottomland forest areas have been inundated as a result of flood control.

Boston Mountains

Near the upper White River in the Upper Boston Mountains subsection, Gerstäcker (1881) described the vegetation:

There was no trace of fir [cedar]; the mountains were covered with oak, beech, and hickory . . . It struck me as extraordinary that the best and most fertile land was on the hill tops, where in other

places, it is generally the worst; here grew black walnut, wild cherry, with stems sometimes twenty inches in diameter, black locust, and sugar maple, trees which generally grow only on the richest soils. (p. 282)

The dominant trees in the Lower Boston Mountains subsection in 1837 to 1843 were white oak, black oak, and post oak, with appreciable numbers of hickory. White oaks were most commonly on steep slopes and higher elevations. Post oaks were most commonly on high elevations, upper stream valley floodplains, and intermediate flat uplands. Black oak and hickories were distributed across all landform types (Lockhart and others 1995, Harmon and others 1996).

A comparison of the available information on historic vegetation with modern vegetation indicates fewer major historic changes than in other sections of the Highlands. However, literature on this section is skimpy, and research on historic vegetation should be a priority.

Arkansas Valley

Historically, the Eastern Arkansas Valley section was mostly forested. Further west, out of the bottomlands, were open oak woods, the ground layer of which was partly covered with grasses. Bottomlands were heavily wooded.

Near Fort Smith, prairies became predominant, with both oak- and pine-covered ridges. The Western Arkansas Valley Mountains were forested, with pine and oaks codominant. Pine was typically on south-facing and northwest-facing aspects, white oaks on northwest to northeast aspects, black oaks on west-facing slopes, and post oaks most commonly on shallow slopes. Although more open than forests of the area today, these were not savannas, although the GLO survey notes documented forests with a relatively low density and basal area, consistent with frequent burning. Savannas may have existed in smaller areas than those that may be discerned by this approach. Understory was typically described as “oak bushes,” which is indicative of periodic fire (Foti and Glenn 1991).

Nuttall (1980, but describing conditions in 1819) described the effects of intentional burning on prairies near Fort Smith in the Arkansas Valley:

I took an agreeable walk into the adjoining prairie, which is about two miles wide and seven long. I . . . could perceive no reason for the absence of trees, except the annual conflagration . . . The numerous rounded elevations which [checker] this verdant plain, are so many partial attempts at shrubby and arborescent vegetation, which nature has repeatedly made, and which have only been subdued by the reiterated operation of annual burning, employed by the natives, for the purpose of hunting with more facility and of affording a tender pasturage for game. (p. 158)

On his return from the Red River, Nuttall found “pine ridges” and “oak ridges” in the Western Arkansas Valley subsection (p. 164).

A botanist with Stephen Long’s expedition (James 1823) described a similar scene. Traveling east from Fort Smith, their path lay “through open woods of post oak, black jack, and hickory, occasionally traversing a narrow prairie. In these open plains, now covered with rank grass and weeds, we discovered here and there some traces, such as a skull or hoof of a bison” (p. 264). There were “heavily wooded low grounds” near present-day Paris (p. 266), while the summit of Short Mountain in that vicinity was “covered with small trees, among which the red cedar, or some other evergreen tree predominates . . . The upland forests are almost exclusively of oak, with some . . . hickory, dogwood, and black gum. They are open, and the ground is in part covered with coarse grasses” (p. 267).

Further east, within the Central Arkansas Valley subsection, Nuttall climbed Petit Jean Mountain in 1819 and saw “a vast wilderness . . . covered with trees To the east a considerable plain stretches out, almost uninterrupted by elevations Over the vast plain immediately below me, appeared here and there belts of cypress . . . they seemed to occupy lagoons and swamps, at some remote period formed by the rivers” (pp. 120–121).

Ouachita Mountains

In the eastern part of the Ouachita Mountains, oak and pine forests of relatively small trees occurred, along with dense forests of oak, ash, and sugar maple. The historical literature and GLO surveys support the view

that the forest was more open at the time of European settlement and that fires contributed to that low density (Foti and Glenn 1991). In the western Ouachita Mountains, oak savanna was documented. Only in the valleys of the western Ouachita Mountains and westernmost Fourche Mountains did prairies become dominant in the landscape. In that area, ridges were predominantly pine or oak-pine. Oaks dominated shaley rolling uplands of the Athens Piedmont Plateau subsection, while pine and stunted hardwoods were more common on the sandstone ridges.

In general, pine was virtually ubiquitous in the historic forests of the Ouachitas, but it varied greatly in dominance. Hardwoods, primarily oaks, were also a major component on most sites (Foti and Glenn 1991). On very high ridges in the western Fourche Mountains, stunted forests of white oak and post oak occurred (Nuttall 1980), while mesic forests with beech occurred in protected areas (Foti and Glenn 1991). In the more easterly Fourche Mountains and Central Ouachita Mountains, hardwoods—primarily oaks—were dominant on sandstone while pine became dominant on novaculite. In the extreme eastern Fourche Mountains, barrens dominated by stunted oaks occurred in the dry valleys. Cane grew along bottomland streams.

During an expedition to the hot springs of Arkansas in 1804 and 1805 (Rowland 1930), Dunbar and Hunter found cane along the margin of the Ouachita River within the Central Ouachita Mountains and noted that some of the hills were barren. Oak species dominated between Gulpha Creek and the hot springs, although the travelers also recorded “pine woods.” From Hot Springs Mountain in what is now Hot Springs National Park, they wrote that “the timber here is not large[,] consisting of oak, pine, cedar, holly, hawthorn, with many others common to this climate, with a great variety of vines” (p. 274).

James, the botanist with the Long expedition of 1819–1820, described the Ouachita Mountains between present-day Dardanelle and Hot Springs (Fourche Mountains subsection) as covered with small and scattered trees or nearly treeless (James 1823). Oak species and Ozark chinkapin occurred on sandstone and pine forests on novaculite (p. 287). However, not all of the area James described was barren. Dense forests of oak, ash, and sugar maple occurred along the bases of mountains east of present-day Hot Springs (p. 297).

Thomas Nuttall (1980) described prairie “full of luxuriant grasses about knee high, in which we surprised herds of fleeing deer” (p. 163) in the Ouachita Mountains landscape between Fort Smith and the Red River, in what is now eastern Oklahoma (Western Ouachita Mountains subsection). On his return, he found an area of bushes and half-burnt trees along the lower Kiamichi River, which he described as “horrid, labyrinthine thickets and cane-brakes [with] very little prairie” (p. 162); he also noted hills covered in pine. He found an “extensive cove, covered with grass, and mostly a prairie of undulated surface” with thickets of greenbriar along streams at the junction of Jack Fork and Kiamichi Rivers (pp. 162–163). In the Fourche Mountains, he also described dwarf white oak forests like those currently found on the crest of Rich Mountain (p. 164).

“The barrens that lie betwixt these ridges” in the extreme eastern Fourche Mountains subsection north of Little Rock were very dry and dominated by stunted oaks (Featherstonhaugh 1844, p. 39). Similar vegetation can be seen today on National Guard Camp Joe T. Robinson in North Little Rock, along Featherstonhaugh’s route. In the 1830’s, pines dominated the northern Ouachita Mountains as well as the Arkansas Valley (Foti and Glenn 1991). Mesic forests occurred on north slopes. Undergrowth tended to be “oak bushes,” a growth form that can result from frequent low-intensity fires. Cane apparently grew only along major rivers, and vines and briars were not common. Surveyors did not mention grass but referred to sites with “no undergrowth,” perhaps indicating that there was no woody undergrowth but there was grass undergrowth, as surveyors once made this observation in the same mile where they recorded a “prairie” (Foti and Glenn 1991).

In the Western Ouachita Mountains subsection, a survey in 1896 found white oak, northern red oak, post oak, shortleaf pine, black oak, and hickories, in that order, dominant in the area. Density was low enough that the area should be described as savanna. At the same corners in 1994, dominants were shortleaf pine, white oak, mockernut hickory, northern red oak, post oak, and black oak, in that order (Kreiter 1995).

Red-cockaded woodpeckers are currently located in an area of the Fourche Mountains that had an abundance of pines in the pre-settlement forest; this area was superior habitat for the species in the past and has remained so. In 1819 and 1820, the Ouachita Mountains

between present-day Dardanelle and Hot Springs (Fourche Mountains subsection) were covered with small and scattered trees or were nearly treeless (James 1823). Oak species and Ozark chinquapin occurred on sandstone with pine forests on novaculite (p. 287). However, not all of the area James described was barren. Dense forests of oak, ash, and sugar maple occurred along the bases of mountains east of Hot Springs (p. 297).

Effects of Disturbances on Highlands Ecosystems

As the prehistory and history of the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands demonstrate, climate (both long-term changes and short-term events), fire, and biotic factors, such as outbreaks of insects, are important natural disturbance factors in its ecosystems. Human-caused factors, such as flood control, introduction of nonnative species, and the prevention, suppression, or setting of fire, also can disturb ecosystems either in fairly “naturalistic” ways or in “catastrophic” ways. People have been a constant influence on plant communities and ecosystems of the Highlands, so the idea of a “natural” environment, free from human influence, is false. Human and nonhuman disturbance and vegetation in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands are inextricably intertwined. Ecosystems change constantly as they respond to various disturbance events.

Climatic Disturbance Factors

Climate is the most important influence on vegetation in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands. Although climate is often thought of as relatively stable, “average” climatic conditions seldom occur. (See Chapter 1 of the companion report *Aquatic Conditions* [USDA Forest Service 1999a] for a complete treatment of climatic patterns in the Highlands). Extremes of temperature and precipitation function as disturbances in particular ecosystems and may have more impact on the distribution of species than long-term averages. Native species, particularly those that are long-lived, must deal with many extreme episodes. Animal species may adjust to climatic extremes by moving to cooler, warmer, or more protected places or by becoming dormant. Plant species may

respond to short-term stresses by reducing transpiration, shedding leaves, or otherwise becoming dormant, and may respond to long-term or repeated stresses with genetic changes or population shifts.

Ice and Snow

Ice and snow occasionally damage pole-sized shortleaf pines in plantations, but most native trees of the Assessment area are fairly well adapted to ice and snow. Late frosts can damage spring buds, especially in valleys subject to cold-air drainage, but rarely cause mortality. However, periodic severe ice storms cause extensive damage and are to be expected over the life span of dominant trees. This is one of the stand-replacing disturbances of the region.

Species such as loblolly pine, abundant in the Coastal Plain forests south and east of the Assessment area but only recorded in the Highlands historically in moist areas of the southernmost Ouachitas, have been widely planted in the southern half of the Highlands and are more susceptible to winter damage (Burns and Honkala 1990).

A few mountains in the Assessment area, notably Rich and Black Fork Mountains in the Ouachitas, are high and exposed enough to experience montane conditions—cold and windy, with considerable fog and ice. As a result, oaks on the crests of these mountains are stunted, only reaching heights of a few feet to about 30 feet.

Wind

The frequency, intensity, and scale of wind disturbances can cause significant variations in forest regeneration processes and resulting communities. In relatively low-intensity events, wind is responsible for “gap-phase” dynamics, the process by which a forest is renewed by death and replacement of individual trees or small groups of trees. Occasionally, severe windstorms or tornadoes destroy all or most trees within a large area, especially when preceded by soil-saturating rains.

A 19th-century traveler in Arkansas noted that tornadoes “will sweep a district of a mile in width and several miles in length, leveling everything in their path.” After a time, the tornado-swept land became “impenetrable [thickets of] blackberries, thorns and creepers” important for wildlife such as bear (Gerstäcker 1881: p. 273).

Among the more notable recent blowdowns, a tornado leveled a portion of Winona Research Natural Area on the Ouachita National Forest in 1986. Its swath is still visible in young stands along the track today. In fact, high winds blow down trees in the Ouachitas nearly every year. High winds or tornadoes hit the Eleven Point District of the Mark Twain National Forest in the spring of 1997, knocking down or breaking off many oaks and pines.

Such intensive, large-scale damage is often likened to the effects of clearcutting (see Chapter 4), but windstorms seldom remove all canopy trees uniformly, nor do they cause the uniform soil disturbance often associated with site preparation. Severe storms may, however, remove virtually all canopy trees, and uprooted trees do cause significant soil disturbance.

Drought and Fire

Droughts can limit the distribution of plant and animal species. The Assessment area experiences more frequent and severe droughts than areas to the east. Droughts damaged vegetation in some areas of the Ozarks and Ouachitas in 1980 and 1981, leading to a 10 to 15 percent tree mortality in some places in 1983 and 1984 (Nelson 1985).

Drought can interact with other disturbance factors to cause greater change. For example, the phenomenon of oak decline (see Chapter 6) has been attributed in part to drought (Kessler 1992). In Missouri, overstocking of scarlet and black oaks on sites where post and white oaks and shortleaf pine are better adapted apparently contributes to drought-caused disturbance. Both competition and site adaptation may play roles here.

Wildfires, more common during drought years, can lead to the natural regeneration of new forest stands. Mattoon (1915) reported that almost all pure stands of shortleaf pine in western Arkansas (Montgomery and Pike Counties) dated from approximately 1740 or 1850. Those years may have followed ones marked by exceptionally dry periods during which stand-replacing wildfires were common. These dates roughly coincide with those of high charcoal deposition in a bog and natural lake in the western Ouachitas: fire occurrence there peaked during the Altithermal period of approximately 5,000 years before present (B.P.) and then again

about 1700 B.P. and 200 B.P., but occurred throughout the record preserved in the sediments (Albert and Wyckoff 1981).

Before fire prevention and suppression became common, forests in the Assessment area typically had fewer trees, spaced much further apart, than do today's stands (Batek 1994, Schroeder and others 1997). Fire is probably the second-most important natural change process in the Highlands, following climate. Fire is a natural factor to which many species and ecosystems have adapted (USDA Forest Service 1997). The importance of fire as a landscape process in the Highlands has been emphasized by many ecologists, beginning with the study of Beilman and Brenner (1951).

The Assessment area lies at the southern and eastern edge of the Midwestern prairies, which owe their existence to climate, fire, and grazing. The pine and oak forests of the Assessment area were strongly influenced by fires as well (Spurr and Barnes 1980, Abrams 1992).

Likewise, the glades of the White River Hills—openings of tallgrass prairie in the surrounding oak woodlands—evolved with and depended upon fire as an agent of primary decomposition and nutrient recycling. Grassland plants produce fuel conditions that make fire almost inevitable, and only plant species that are extremely fire-tolerant or fire-dependent persist there.

Data on present-day lightning-set fires show a high peak in August, with high numbers also in July and September (Foti and Glenn 1991). Fires were also frequent in April, but not nearly as numerous as in August. The same general pattern was shown in the eastern Oklahoma Ouachitas, but with the highest peak in July (Masters 1994).

Society in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands has long attempted to control the effects of fire, first by setting fires to extend its benefits and later by preventing and suppressing fires. Before European settlement, American Indians regularly set fires that burned across huge areas and stopped only at large rivers or when rain intervened (Williams 1994), apparently to thin woods, promote grazing land, and drive game into confined areas, making hunting easier.

Fire frequencies varied among the subsections of the Missouri Ozarks (Guyette and McGinnes 1982, Ladd 1991, Ladd and Huemann 1994, Nelson 1993, Rebertus 1994); the Arkansas Ozarks (Jenkins and others 1997);

and the Ouachita Mountains (Foti and Glenn 1991, Johnson and Schnell 1985, Masters and others 1994). Fire frequencies ranged from 2 to 40 years. Longer frequencies occurred during the settlement period; most frequencies are longer than the measured fire-return interval, since only fires intense enough to produce scars would be seen in the record.

In the late 1720's, Le Page du Pratz of Natchez traveled through Louisiana Territory "from the Natchez to the St. Francis" (du Pratz 1774), apparently reaching northeastern Arkansas. Although he made no specific references to fire in the Highlands, he made this general comment that may be assumed to pertain at least to the southern Highlands:

We set out in the month of September, which is the best season of the year for beginning a journey in this country: in the first place, because, during the summer, the grass is too high for travelling; whereas in the month of September, the meadows, the grass of which is then dry, are set on fire, and the ground becomes smooth, and easy to walk on: and hence it is, that at this time, clouds of smoke are seen for several days together to extend over a long track [sic] of country; sometimes to the extent of between twenty and thirty leagues in length [a league is variously 1.6 to 3.2 miles, usually estimated at about 3 miles], by two or three leagues in breadth, more or less, according as the wind sets, and is higher or lower. (p. 134)

An "immense conflagration" occurred in an area 12 miles wide between ridges of the Ouachita Mountains in late November 1835 (Featherstonhaugh 1844, p. 36). Similarly, in Lincoln County, MO, just north of the Ozark Highlands, Joseph Mudd (1888, quoted in Ladd 1991) noted:

Annually, after this rank growth of vegetation had become frosted, dead, and dry, the Indians set fire to it and burned it from the entire surface of the country. When this annual burning ceased, the germs of underbrush and young timber began to grow

Ladd (1991) provides many other similar descriptions.

As burning declined with European settlement, the forest's understory redeveloped rapidly. Gerstäcker (1881) described using fire to hunt deer at night: "The

fire being kept behind your head, the eyes of the game will glow like balls of [fire]. [The] deer, accustomed to the frequent fires in the forest, are not alarmed" (p. 217). Gerstäcker observed in another area that "the forests not having been burnt for many years, were so thickly overgrown with underwood, that it was impossible to find the deer, or to shoot game enough to live upon" (p. 226). James (1823) noted that, "Since their occupation by permanent inhabitants, the yearly ravages of fire have been prevented, and a dense growth of oaks and elms has sprung up."

Since lightning-set fires and the fires referred to in the historic record occurred at approximately the same time (lightning-set fires concentrated in July–September and human-caused fires occurring September–November), it seems clear that American Indians did not impose a new disturbance regime, but modified the natural regime by increasing the frequency, reducing the intensity, or shifting the timing of fires to later in the autumn, when damage to vegetation was less (Foti and Glenn 1991). In general, fires can only be set when fuel is dry enough, and this is the time vegetation would burn, either from lightning strikes or anthropogenic starts. There is a smaller peak in lightning-set fires in March–April that is seldom mentioned in the historic record. Fires early in the growing season may have had much more impact on vegetation composition structure and composition than those in late summer.

Therefore, American Indians and early settlers did not produce the overall vegetation patterns of the Assessment area but rather apparently modified and emphasized the effects of lightning-caused fire (Foti and Glenn 1991). This conclusion is disputed by the studies of Kreiter (1995), however, and questioned by others.

Fire suppression became a significant disturbance factor in the Assessment area in the 1930's, as ownership of depleted farm and forestland reverted to State or Federal Governments. Reaction to damage from careless burning nationwide led to virtual exclusion of fire from all ecosystems and Smokey Bear became the symbol of forest protection. Through direct action (fire control) and indirect action (land development, grazing, reservoir construction, and logging), natural fires were for all practical purposes eliminated. As an example, fire suppression increased the fire return interval at an average site in Hot Springs National Park from 41.4 years to 1,200 years during the period 1700–1980

(Johnson and Schnell 1985). Similarly, the mean fire return interval for McCurtain County (OK) Wilderness Area increased from 29.9 years to 547 years (Masters and others 1995). In each of these cases, it should be understood that fire histories as reconstructed from fire scars underestimate the return interval. Therefore, the measured intervals are longer than the actual intervals.

In general, the forests of the Assessment area are more closed and less biologically diverse than the open oak and pine woodlands of the past. Extensive areas of pine-dominated forest are now rare in Missouri (Nigh and others 1992), and fire suppression has led to overstocking of black and scarlet oaks on sites where post and white oaks and shortleaf pine are better adapted. After 60 years of effective fire suppression, the shortleaf pine forests of the Ozarks and Ouachita Mountains are no longer open and no longer support the grass and forb understory described as characteristic of these forests in earlier times (Martin and Kline 1985, Bukenhofer and Hedrick 1997).

Oak forests also benefit from fire (even though individual trees may be damaged from an economic viewpoint). Fire helps maintain valuable timber- and mast-producing oak forests by a number of mechanisms, but especially by giving oak reproduction the competitive advantage over other species (Abrams 1992, Johnson 1993, Lorimer 1992, Van Lear and Watt 1992). (See Chapter 4 for discussion of oak silviculture.) While perhaps not as serious a problem in the relatively dry Ozarks as it is further to the north and east, oaks

are gradually giving way to maples, blackgum, tulip-poplar, and other tree species on some sites (Packard 1991).

When fire is removed from a natural grassland community, fire-sensitive species such as eastern red cedar quickly invade, and fire-dependent species such as the prairie legumes and tallgrass prairie species lose vigor and dominance.

Today, under conditions greatly different than those prevalent 200 years ago, most wildfires in the Assessment area result from human accidents or arson. Between 1981 and 1996, for example, lightning caused only 2, 6, and 15 percent of the wildfires on the Mark Twain, Ozark-St. Francis, and Ouachita National Forests, respectively (table 2.1). The rates of lightning-caused fires on non-Federal lands in the Assessment area States were less than 2 percent (table 2.2).

Floods

Flash floods can have significant effects on riparian ecosystems in the Assessment area. Comparison of aerial photographs of 1935 with recent ones shows that dynamic riverside forests continually change in reaction to floods, with bands of sycamores and river birch trees moving across bottomlands as sand and gravel bars migrate. The Arkansas River submerges large bottomlands in the Arkansas Valley Section for long periods. Although levees, dams, and flood-control reservoirs in the watershed prevent or alter many of these natural

Table 2.1—Wildfires, including number of lightning-caused ignitions and acres burned, on the Highlands' national forests, 1981 through 1996

National forest	Total number of wildfires	Average annual number of fires		
		Total	Lightning-caused	Acres burned
Mark Twain	3,231	202	4	94,456
Ozark-St. Francis	1,233	77	5	20,257
Ouachita	1,689	106	16	26,810
Total	6,153	385	25	141,523

Table 2.2—Lightning-caused and human-caused fires on State and private lands from 1981 to 1996

State	Average annual lightning-caused fires	Average annual human-caused fires
Missouri	14	2,290
Arkansas	33	1,815
Oklahoma	27	1,606

Source: File records of the Arkansas Forestry Commission, Oklahoma Department of Agriculture (Forestry Division), and Missouri Department of Conservation (Forestry Division).

changes, significant areas of bottomland forest still exist along the Arkansas River and its tributaries. Floods in developed watersheds are usually more severe and destructive than those in naturally forested ones.

Studies are underway to determine how flash floods affect the Little Piney and Jack’s Fork watersheds in Missouri (Jacobson 1995). Such studies may provide additional insight into an important change process.

Biotic Disturbance Factors

Biotic factors can be very significant in ecosystems, particularly as they interact with other disturbance factors. For example, southern pine beetles may not have been a serious threat to forest health as long as fire helped maintain relatively open forests and woodlands. But the insect can be a significant disturbance factor in dense pine stands.

Human introductions of nonnative species can significantly change ecosystems, as well. The gypsy moth’s destruction of forests in the Eastern United States is one of the best known examples. The looming arrival of the gypsy moth “front” to the Assessment area could be an unprecedented disturbance event, with negative effects on many organisms and positive effects on others. (See Chapter 6 for discussion of biological threats to forest resources.)

In the meantime, one of the ongoing biotic disturbances of interest to public land managers and others is livestock grazing. Use of open forests, savannas, woodlands, and native grasslands for grazing occurred in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands well before European

settlement and continues today, albeit at lower levels. For early settlers on small farms in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands, livestock was a minor to very significant source of income. Much of the livestock economy (cattle, hogs, horses, and sheep) depended upon free and unrestricted, year-round (“open-range”) grazing of public lands and some private lands. Immigrants found a wide variety of such grazing opportunities in the Highlands. By the mid-1900’s, enactment of laws limiting “woods burning” and development of a strong fire prevention program greatly reduced the occurrence of fires and allowed tree canopies to expand and grasses to decline in many prairies and woodlands.

Increasingly dense tree canopies and protection from fire reduced available forage on many lands in the Highlands, including the national forests. Improvement of pastures on private land, as well as conflicts with other resource uses, such as recreation, wildlife, and intensified timber management on national forests, also contributed to a decline in grazing on national forest lands (Lee 1980). Other factors contributing to the decline of range grazing in the Assessment area include legal prohibition of open-range grazing on public and private lands; increased grazing fees on national forest lands in an effort to recover “fair market value”; permittees on national forest lands reducing their operations or retiring; and the movement of younger people away from single-family farms. Still, range grazing continues to be an important biotic disturbance factor in the Highlands.

In 1992, about 13,595,600 acres of non-Federal lands within the Assessment area were devoted to grazing, down only about 5 percent compared to 1982 levels (USDA NRCS 1997). (Much of the grazing land was converted to other uses such as urban and residential expansion, agricultural crops, or timber.) On the three national forests, 131 permittees were grazing cattle in 1996, down from 401 in 1987; Animal Unit Months (AUM’s) under permit declined from over 75,000 to about 28,000 during the same period (table 2.3).

The timing and intensity of grazing are key variables affecting its impacts on an ecosystem. Early and continuous overgrazing can cause the loss of topsoil by erosion and limit recovery of the vegetation. Overgrazing depletes the reserves in perennial plants and eventually kills them. In the long term, more palatable species are replaced by less palatable ones.

Table 2.3—Animal Unit Months (AUM’s) and number of grazing permittees on national forests of the Highlands in 1987 and 1996

National forest	1987		1996	
	AUM’s	Permittees	AUM’s	Permittees
Ozark-St. Francis	20,809	112	10,262	35
Ouachita (AR)	22,742	140	4,271	34
Ouachita (OK)	8,000	33	1,438	9
Mark Twain	23,717	116	12,151	53
Total	75,268	401	28,122	131

Even light or seasonal grazing can favor the spread of certain less favorable species (Smith 1940). Penfound (1964) found protection from grazing led to rapid plant succession, decrease in forage, and increase in mulch. Hazell (1964) found heavy grazing decreased range conditions and vigor, while increasing undesirable grasses and forbs. Similarly, Jensen and Schumacher (1969) found the more desirable native bluestems decreased and less desirable species increased in numbers under long-term grazing.

Trampling by cattle can bury seeds and encourage seedling establishment. Winkel and Roundy (1991) found disturbance by cattle or mechanical methods may enhance vegetation establishment during years with moderate rainfall (depending on species and soil) but may be unnecessary during wet years. They found that during dry years it was futile to attempt to establish seedlings. Thill (1984) found cattle grazing on newly-harvested forest sites could benefit white-tailed deer by improving accessibility to sites, slowing plant succession, and possibly increasing preferred foods, such as lespedezas, by reducing competing vegetation.

Implications and Opportunities

Several points emerge from the discussion of prehistoric and more recent change: 1) constantly changing vegetation characterizes the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands; 2) the prominence of endemic species in the regional biota indicate that even during extremes of climate, refugia of oak-hickory forest existed; and 3) humans

were present (having arrived some 10,000 years ago) during the assembly of “modern” communities and ecosystems and very likely influenced their structure and function.

Because people have been a constant influence on plant communities and ecosystems of the Highlands for thousands of years, ideas of “natural” (i.e., not human-influenced) conditions need to be reviewed carefully, even challenged. Human and nonhuman disturbance events are inextricably intertwined with the vegetation and wildlife of the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands. Society cannot preserve ecosystems in unchanged states, nor can it regulate them precisely to produce constant flows of desired outputs or conditions—whether those desired outputs are scenery, water, old-growth characteristics, wildlife diversity, endangered species, or wood products. Scientists face the challenge of countering long-held ecological views and public policies that ignore the consequences of disturbance and presume a constant environment.

Knowledge of how ecosystems change enables managers to take a more ecological approach to planning, implementation, and monitoring (Averill and others 1994, Pyne 1982, Williams 1993). For example, harvesting methods may mimic some types of wind-caused disturbance. Single-tree selection may mimic low-intensity wind disturbance; group selection can mimic gap-phase regeneration; and clearcutting may mimic intensive disturbance, as from a tornado (see Chapter 4).

Knowledge of “natural” fire regimes gives forest managers valuable perspective on modern questions such as whether prescribed fire is necessary in specific

circumstances, when it should occur, what intensity is appropriate, and what are the most effective ways of controlling wildfires. Wildlife, aesthetic, ecological, and recreation values are served when fire is restored to glades, savannas, and woodlands. Careful monitoring of air, water, and soil qualities is an essential component of efforts to ensure that prescribed fires remain a positive overall environmental influence and that trade-offs are understood. Studies that address the effects of reintroducing fire to oak ecosystems in the Highlands would be helpful.

Similarly, information about past vegetation conditions in the Highlands may expand the options that can be considered by public land managers, research scientists,

and interested citizens. Information about presettlement vegetation of the Boston Mountains is particularly sketchy. Priority should be given to increasing knowledge of historic vegetation in this section.

Expanded efforts to reintroduce the American elk to the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands deserve consideration. Populations have already been established at Cookson Hills and Pushmataha Wildlife Management Areas in Oklahoma and the Buffalo National River in Arkansas. The more widespread reintroductions of elk suggested by Bukenhofer and Hedrick (1997) would need to be undertaken carefully, taking into consideration possible diet overlaps with cattle, deer, and other species, as well as possible physical changes to the forest.

References

- Abrams, Marc D. 1992. Fire and the development of oak forests. *Bioscience*. 42(5): 346–353.
- Albert, Lois E.; Wyckoff, Don G. 1981. Ferndale bog and natural lake: five thousand years of environmental change in southeastern Oklahoma. No. 7. Oklahoma City, OK: Oklahoma Archeological Survey. 121 p.
- Allard, D.J. 1990. Southeastern United States ecological community classification. Interim report, Version 1.2. Chapel Hill, NC: The Nature Conservancy, Southeast Regional Office. 96 p.
- Ammon, Vernon; Nebeker, T. Evan; Filer, Ted H. [and others]. 1989. Oak decline. Tech. Bull. 161. Mississippi State, MS: Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station. 15 p.
- Anderson, J.F.; Furniss, W.E. 1983. Epidemic of *urticaria* associated with first-instar larvae of the gypsy moth (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*. 20(2): 146–150.
- Anderson, R.L. 1988. The butternut: outlook grim. *American Forests*. 94(9/10): 49.
- Anderson, R.L.; Knighten, J.L.; Windham, M. [and others]. 1994. Dogwood anthracnose and its spread in the South. Prot. Rep. R8-PR-26. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region. 10 p.
- Anderson, R.M. 1940. The spread of cottontail rabbits in Canada. *Canadian Field-Naturalist*. 54:70–72.
- Annand, E.M.; Thompson, F.R., III. 1977. Forest bird response to regeneration practices in central hardwood forests. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 61: 159–171.
- Appel, David N.; Billings, Ronald F. 1992. Oak wilt perspectives. In: Proceedings of the national oak wilt symposium; 1992 June 22–25; Austin, TX. College Station, TX: Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, Texas Forest Service, Texas Agricultural Extension Service. 217 p.
- Aust, W.M.; Hodges, J.D.; Johnson, R.L. 1985. The origin, growth and development of natural, pure, even-aged stands of bottomland oak. In: Shoulders E., ed. Proceedings of the third biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1984 November 7–8; Atlanta, GA. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-54. New Orleans, LA: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 163–170.
- Averill, Robert D.; Larson, Louise; Saveland, James M. [and others]. 1994. Disturbance processes and ecosystem management. [Available on the Internet at <<http://svinet2.fs.fed.us/research/vmpr/disturb.htm>>.] Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 33p.
- Bailey, Robert G; Avers, Peter E.; King, Thomas; McNab, W. Henry, eds. 1994. Ecoregions and subregions of the United States (map). Washington, DC. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey. Scale 1:7,500,000.
- Baker, J.B. 1992. Natural regeneration of shortleaf pine. In: Brissette, J.C.; Barnett, J.P., comps. Proceedings of the shortleaf pine regeneration workshop; 1991 October 29–31; Little Rock, AR. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-90. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 102–112.
- Baker, J.B., tech. comp. 1994. Proceedings of the symposium on ecosystem management research in the Ouachita Mountains: pretreatment conditions and preliminary findings; 1993 October 26–27; Hot Springs, AR. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-112. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 265 p.
- Baker, J.B.; Cain, M.D.; Guldin, J.M. [and others]. 1996. Uneven-aged silviculture for the loblolly and shortleaf pine forest cover types. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-118. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 65 p.
- Baldwin, F.L.; Boyd, J.W. 1998. Recommended chemicals for weed and brush control in Arkansas. Misc. Pub. 44. Little Rock, AR: University of Arkansas, Division of Agriculture, Cooperative Extension Service. 137 p.
- Baldwin, Ford L.; Boyd, John W.; Tripp, Timothy. 1988. Recommended chemicals for weed and brush control. Misc. Pub. 44. Little Rock, AR: University of Arkansas, Cooperative Extension Service; Fayetteville, AR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 98 p.
- Barnett, J.P. 1992. Production of shortleaf pine seedlings. In: Brissette, J.C.; Barnett, J.P., comps. Proceedings of the shortleaf pine regeneration workshop; 1991 October 29–31; Little Rock, AR. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-90. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 20–31.
- Barnett, J.P.; Brissette, J.C.; Carlson, W.C. 1986. Artificial regeneration of shortleaf pine. In: Murphy, P.A., ed. Proceedings of the symposium on the shortleaf pine ecosystem; 1986 March 31–April 2; Little Rock, AR. Monticello, AR: University of Arkansas, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Extension Service: 64–88.

- Barrett, J.W., ed. 1995. Regional silviculture of the United States. 3d ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons. 643 p.
- Bassett, E.N.; Fenn, P.; Mead, M.A. 1982. Drought-related mortality and incidence of *Hypoxylon* canker. Arkansas Farm Research. 31(1): 8.
- Batek, Michael J. 1994. Presettlement vegetation of the Current River watershed in the Missouri Ozarks. Columbia, MO: University of Missouri-Columbia. 264 p. M.S. thesis.
- Beck, D.E. 1988. Clearcutting and other regeneration options for upland hardwoods. In: Proceedings of the sixteenth annual hardwood symposium of the Hardwood Research Council: hardwood supply—feast and famine; 1988 May 15–18; Cashiers, NC. Cashiers, NC: Hardwood Research Council: 44–54.
- Beck, D.E.; Hooper, R.M. 1986. Development of a southern Appalachian hardwood stand after clearcutting. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry. 10: 168–172.
- Beilman, A.P.; Brenner, L.G. 1951. The recent intrusion of forests in the Ozarks. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden. 38: 261–282.
- Bey, Calvin F. 1964. Advance oak reproduction grows fast after clearcutting. Journal of Forestry. 62: 339–340.
- Bey, Calvin F. 1990. *Ulmus americana* L. American elm. In: Burns, Russell M.; Honkala, Barbara H., tech. coords. Silvics of North America, Volume 2, Hardwoods. Agric. Handb. 654. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 801–807.
- Birdsey, Richard A.; May, Dennis M. 1988. Timber resources of east Oklahoma. Resour. Bull. SO-135. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 29 p.
- Boggs, J.A.; Wittwer, R.F. 1993. Emergence and establishment of shortleaf pine seeds under various seedbed conditions. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry. 17(1): 44–48.
- Bradbury, John. 1817. Travels in the interior of North America in the years 1809, 1810, 1811. London: Smith, Sherwood, Neely, and Jones. 346 p.
- Braun, E. Lucy. 1950. Deciduous forests of eastern North America. New York: Hafner. 596 p.
- Brawn, J.D.; Robinson, S.K. 1996. Source-sink population dynamics may complicate the interpretation of long-term census data. Ecology. 77: 3–12.
- Brennan, L.A. 1991. How can we reverse the northern bobwhite decline? Wildlife Society Bulletin. 19: 544–555.
- Brinkman, K.A.; Rogers, N.F. 1967. Timber management guide for shortleaf pine and oak-pine types in Missouri. Res. Pap. NC-19. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 15 p.
- Brissette, J.C.; Barnett, J.P., comps. 1992. Proceedings of the shortleaf pine regeneration workshop; 1991 October 29–31; Little Rock, AR. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-90. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 236 p.
- Brissette, J.C.; Carlson, W.C. 1992. Seedling quality and field performance. In: Brissette, J.C.; Barnett, J.P., comps. Proceedings of the shortleaf pine regeneration workshop; 1991 October 29–31; Little Rock, AR. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-90. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 32–43.
- Brown, Michael E. 1997. Developing a funding strategy for areas without existing gypsy moth populations. In: Proceedings of the 1997 annual gypsy moth review; 1997 Nov. 3–6; Charleston, WV. Charleston, WV: West Virginia Department of Agriculture: 114–118.
- Bukenhofer, George A.; Hedrick, Larry D. 1997. Shortleaf pine/bluestem grass ecosystem renewal in the Ouachita Mountains. Transactions of the 62nd North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference. 62: 509–515.
- Burns, Russell M.; Honkala, Barbara H. 1990. Silvics of North America, volume 1, conifers. Agric. Handb. 654. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 498 p.
- Byther, R.S.; Davidson, R.M., Jr. 1979. Dogwood anthracnose. Ornamental Northwest Newsletter. 3: 20–21.
- Campbell, Faith T., ed. 1997. List of invasive exotic/alien/nonindigenous plant species [data base and printout]. Springfield, VA: National Coalition of Exotic Pest Councils. 25 p.
- Carter, M.F.; Barker, K. 1993. An interactive database for setting conservation priorities for western neotropical migrants. In: Finch, D.M.; Stangel, P.W., eds. Status and management of neotropical migratory birds. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-229. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 120–127.
- Chandler, Craig ; Cheney, Philip; Thomas, Philip [and others]. 1983. Forest fire behavior and effects. In: Fire in forestry: Vol. I. New York: John Wiley and Sons. 450 p.
- Christisen, Donald M. 1970. Fox and gray squirrels. In: Nagel, W.O., ed. Conservation contrasts: three decades of

- non-political management of wildlife and forests in Missouri. Jefferson City, MO: Missouri Department of Conservation: 139–146.
- Clark, Alexander, III; Souter, R.A. 1996. Stem cubic-foot volume tables for tree species in the Arkansas area. Res. Pap. SE-297. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 70 p.
- Clark, F.B. 1970. Measures necessary for natural regeneration of oaks, yellow-poplar, sweetgum, and black walnut. In: The silviculture of oaks and associated species. Res. Pap. NE-144. Upper Darby, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station: 1–16.
- Clark, F.B.; Boyce, S.G. 1964. Yellow-poplar seed remains viable in the forest litter. *Journal of Forestry*. 62(8): 564–567.
- Clark, Joseph D. 1988. Arkansas status report. In: Smith, Howard L.; de Almeida, Maria H., eds. Proceedings of the 9th eastern workshop on black bear research and management [Draft copy]. 1988 April 5–7; Huntsville, ON, Canada. Toronto, ON: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources: 4–7. [Unpublished copy on file in the office of the Resources Specialist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Mark Twain National Forest, Rolla, MO.]
- Cogbill, Charles V. 1995. Toward an ecological definition of eastern old growth. [Abstract]. In: Twenty-second annual natural areas conference, paper and poster abstracts; 1995 October 25–28; Fayetteville, AR. Little Rock, AR: Natural Areas Association; Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission; and The Nature Conservancy, Arkansas Field Office: 7.
- Colorado Bird Observatory. [Accessed November 1998]. Partners in Flight scores for physiographic region 19. <<http://members.aol.com/CBOPIFDB/index.html>>. [Various pagination.] (This Web site has since moved to <<http://www.cbobirds.org>>.)
- Conner, Michael D.; Wilkinson, Robert C. 1979. Ips bark beetles in the South. For. Insect & Dis. Leaf. 129. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 8 p.
- Critchfield, W.B.; Little, E.L., Jr. 1966. Geographic distribution of the pines of the world. Misc. Pub. 991. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 97 p.
- Croneis, C. 1930. Geology of the Arkansas Paleozoic era. Bull. 3. Little Rock, AR: Arkansas Geological Survey. 457 p.
- Cutter, B.E.; Guyette, R.P. 1994. Fire frequency on an oak-hickory ridgetop in the Missouri Ozarks. *American Midland Naturalist*. 132: 393–398.
- Dale, M.E.; Sonderman, D.L. 1984. Effect of thinning and potential quality of young white oak crop trees. Res. Pap. NE-539. Radnor, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. 11 p.
- Daniel, T.W.; Helms, J.A.; Baker, F.S. 1979. Principles of silviculture. 2nd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill. 500 p.
- Daughtrey, M.L.; Hibben, C.R. 1983. Lower branch dieback, a new disease of northeastern dogwoods. *Phytopathology*. 73: 365.
- deCalesta, D.S.; Stout, S.L. 1997. Relative deer density and sustainability: a conceptual framework for integrating deer management with ecosystem management. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*. 25(2): 252–258.
- Delcourt, H.R.; Delcourt, P.A. 1991. Late-quaternary vegetation history of the Interior Highlands of Missouri, Arkansas, and Oklahoma. In: Henderson, Douglas; Hedrick, L.D., eds. Proceedings of the conference on the restoration of old growth forests in the Interior Highlands of Arkansas and Oklahoma; 1991 September 19–20; Morrilton, AR. Hot Springs, AR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Ouachita National Forest; Morrilton, AR: Winrock Institute for Agricultural Development: 15–30.
- Della-Bianca, L.; Beck, D.E. 1985. Selection management in southern Appalachian hardwoods. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 9(3): 191–196.
- Derr, H.J.; Mann, W.F. 1971. Direct-seeding pines in the South. *Agric. Handb.* 391. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 68 p.
- Dey, D.C.; Johnson, P.S.; Garrett, H.E. 1996. Modeling the regeneration of oak stands in the Missouri Ozark Highlands. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research*. 26: 573–583.
- Dickson, J.G.; Thompson, F.R., III; Conner, R.N.; Franzreb, K.E. 1995. Silviculture in central and southeastern oak-pine forests. In: Martin, T.E.; Finch, D.M., eds. Ecology and management of neotropical migratory birds. New York: Oxford University Press: 245–268.
- Dixon, R.K.; Garrett, H.E.; Cox, G.S. 1979. Containerized shortleaf pine seedlings show superior growth and ectomycorrhizal development with mist foliar fertilization. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 3(4): 154–157.
- Doane, Charles C.; McManus, Michael L., eds. 1981. The gypsy moth: research toward integrated pest management. Tech. Bull. 1584. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 757 p.
- Donovan, T.M.; Thompson, F.R., III; Faaborg, J.; Probst, J. 1995. Reproductive success of migratory birds in habitat

- sources and sinks. *Conservation Biology*. 9: 1380–1395.
- Dorman, K.W. 1976. The genetics and breeding of southern pines. *Agric. Handb.* 471. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 407 p.
- Drummond, David B. 1990. [Entomologist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region, Forest Health Protection, Pineville, LA.] Personal communication. Hot Springs, AR: Ouachita National Forest. [Not paged]. [Unpublished report on file with the Entomologist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region, Forest Health Protection. Pineville, LA.]
- Drummond, David B. 1992. [Entomologist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region, Forest Health Protection, Pineville, LA.] Personal communication. Hot Springs, AR: Ozark National Forest. [Not paged]. [Unpublished report on file with the Entomologist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region, Forest Health Protection. Pineville, LA.]
- du Pratz, L.P. 1774. *The History of Louisiana*. London: T. Becket. 405 p.
- Durham, J.F.; Kurtz, W.B.; Garrett, H.E. 1983. The silvicultural and economic feasibility of thinning mixed stands of oak on a site index of 70 in south Missouri. In: Muller, R.N., ed. *Proceedings, fourth central hardwood forest conference; 1982 November 8–10; Lexington, KY*. Lexington, KY: University of Kentucky: 25–31.
- Durner, G.M.; Gates, J.E. 1993. Spatial ecology of black rat snakes on Remington Farms, Maryland. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 57: 812–826.
- Dwyer, John P.; Kurtz, William B. 1991. The realities of sustainable management vs. diameter limit harvest. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 8(4): 174–176.
- Earles, J.M. 1976. Forest statistics for east Oklahoma counties. *Resour. Bull.* SO-62. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 40 p.
- Ellison, Lincoln. 1960. Influence of grazing on plant succession of rangelands. *Botanical Review*. 26: 1–78.
- Essex, Burton L.; Spencer, John S., Jr. 1974. Timber Resources of Missouri's eastern Ozarks. *Resour. Bull.* NC-19. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 62 p.
- Farrar, Robert M. 1981. Regulation of uneven-aged loblolly-shortleaf pine forests. In: Barnett, J.P., ed. *Proceedings of the first biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1980 November 6–7; Atlanta, GA*. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-34. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 294–304 p.
- Featherstonhaugh, G.W. 1835. Geological report of an examination made in 1834 of the elevated country between the Missouri and Red Rivers. Ex. Doc. No. 151. Washington, DC: U.S. Congress. 97 p.
- Featherstonhaugh, G.W. 1844. *Excursion through the slave States: from Washington on the Potomac, to the frontier of Mexico; with sketches of popular manners and geological notices*. New York: Harper and Bros. 2 vol.
- Fenneman, Nevin M. 1938. *Physiography of Eastern United States*. New York: McGraw-Hill. 714 p.
- Ferguson, E.R.; Graney, D.L. 1972. Site index table for shortleaf pine in the Boston Mountains of Arkansas. *Res. Note* SO-137. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 4 p.
- Ferguson, E.R.; Graney, D.L. 1975. Site index tables for shortleaf pine in the Ozark Highlands of northern Arkansas and southern Missouri. *Res. Note* SO-207. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 5 p.
- Finch, D.M. 1991. Population ecology, habitat requirements, and conservation of neotropical birds. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-205. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 26 p.
- Finch, D.M.; Stangel, P.W. 1993. Status and management of neotropical migratory birds. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-229. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 422 p.
- Fischer, Burnell C. 1981. Designing forest openings for the group selection method. In: Barnett, J.P., ed. *Proceedings of the first biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1980 November 6–7; Atlanta, GA*. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-34. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 274–277.
- Fitzgibbon, Bobbye. 1997. Arkansas gypsy moth project. *Forest Health Protection Update*. 1(3): 5–6.
- Fletcher, P.W.; McDermott, R.E. 1957. Influence of geologic parent material and climate on distribution of shortleaf pine in Missouri. *Research Bull.* 625. Columbia, MO: University of Missouri, College of Agriculture, Agricultural Experiment Station. 43 p.

- Ford-Robertson, F.C., ed. 1971. Terminology of forest science, technology practice and products. English language version. Washington, DC: Society of American Foresters. 349 p.
- Foti, Thomas L. 1974. Natural divisions of Arkansas. In: Arkansas Natural Area Plan. Little Rock, AR: Arkansas Department of Planning: 11–37.
- Foti, Thomas L. 1976. Arkansas, its land and people. Little Rock, AR: Arkansas Department of Education, Environmental and Conservation Office. 71 p.
- Foti, Thomas L.; Glenn, Susan M. 1991. The Ouachita Mountain landscape at the time of settlement. In: Henderson, Douglas; Hedrick, L.D., eds. Proceedings of the conference on restoration of old growth forests in the Interior Highlands of Arkansas and Oklahoma; 1991 September 19–20; Morrilton, AR. Hot Springs, AR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Ouachita National Forest; Morrilton, AR: Winrock Institute for Agricultural Development: 49–65.
- Foti, T.; Blaney, M.; Li, X.; Smith, K.G. 1994. A classification system for the natural vegetation of Arkansas. Proceedings of the Arkansas Academy of Science. 48: 50–62.
- Fowells, H.A., comp. 1965. Silvics of forest trees of the United States. Agric. Handb. 271. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 762 p.
- Franco, Peter A.; Miller, Patrick E.; Hartsell, Andrew J. 1993a. Forest statistics for northeast Oklahoma counties—1993. Resour. Bull. SO-174. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 30 p.
- Franco, Peter A.; Miller, Patrick E.; Hartsell, Andrew J. 1993b. Forest statistics for southeast Oklahoma counties—1993. Resour. Bull. SO-176. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 31 p.
- Freemark, K. E.; Dunning, J.B.; Hejl, S.J.; Probst, J.R. 1995. A landscape ecology perspective for research, conservation, and management. In: Martin, T.E.; Finch, D.M., eds. Ecology and management of neotropical migratory birds. New York: Oxford University Press: 381–427.
- Gaines, Glen; Arndt, Paul; Croy, Steve [and others]. 1997. Guidance for conserving and restoring old-growth forest communities on national forests in the southern region; report of the Region 8 Old-Growth Team. For. Rep. R8-FR 62. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region. 122 p.
- Gansner, David A. 1966a. Timber resources of Missouri's northwestern Ozarks. Bull. B847. Columbia, MO: University of Missouri, Agricultural Experiment Station, and U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 25 p.
- Gansner, David A. 1966b. Timber resources of Missouri's southwestern Ozarks. Bull. B845. Columbia, MO: University of Missouri, Agricultural Experiment Station, and U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 21 p.
- Gardner, J.E.; Gardner, T.L. 1982. An inventory and evaluation of cave resources of Mark Twain National Forest. [Final report submitted to the Mark Twain National Forest, USDA, in compliance with cooperative cave inventory agreement.] Rolla, MO: Missouri Department of Conservation. 100 p.
- Gerstacker, Friedrich. 1881. Wild sports in the far West. New York: J.W. Lovell. 409 p.
- Gilfillan, Corinna. 1994. Exotic pests: a growing threat to the environment. Washington, DC: National Audubon Society. 22 p.
- Gingrich, S.F. 1967. Measuring and evaluating stocking and stand density in upland hardwood forests in the Central States. Forest Science. 13: 38–53.
- Gingrich, S.F. 1970. Effects of density, thinning, and species composition on the growth and yield of eastern hardwoods. In: The silviculture of oaks and associated species. Res. Pap. NE-144. Upper Darby, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station: 26–35.
- Gottschalk, K.W. 1983. Management strategies for successful regeneration: oak-hickory. In: Proceedings of 1983 Penn State forestry issues conference—regenerating hardwood stands; 1983 March 15–16; University Park, PA. University Park, PA: Penn State University, School of Natural Resources: 190–213.
- Gottschalk, K.W. 1985. Effects of shading on growth and development of northern red oak, black oak, black cherry, and red maple seedlings: I. Height, diameter, and root/shoot ratio. In: Dawson, J.O.; Majerus, K.A., eds. Proceedings of the fifth central hardwood forest conference; 1985 April 15–17; Urbana, IL. Urbana-Champaign, IL: University of Illinois, Department of Forestry: 189–195.
- Gottschalk, Kurt W. 1990. Gypsy moth effects on mast protection. In: McGee, Charles E., ed. Proceedings, southern Appalachian mast management workshop; 1989 August 14–16; Knoxville, TN. Knoxville, TN: University of Tennessee: 42–50.

- Graney, D.L. 1976. Site index relationships for shortleaf pine and upland oaks in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands of Missouri, Arkansas and Oklahoma. In: Fralish, J.S.; Weaver, G.T.; Schlesinger, R.C., eds. Central hardwood forest conference proceedings; 1976 October 17–19; Carbondale, IL. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University: 309–326.
- Graney, D.L. 1989. Growth of oak, ash, and cherry reproduction following overstory thinning and understory control in upland hardwood stands of northern Arkansas. In: Miller, J.H., ed. Proceedings of the fifth biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1988 November 1–3; Memphis, TN. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-74. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 245–252.
- Graney, D.L.; Burkhart, H.E. 1973. Polymorphic site index curves for shortleaf pine in the Ouachita Mountains. Res. Pap. SO-85. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 14 p.
- Graney, D.L.; Ferguson, E.R. 1972. Shortleaf pine site-index relationships in the Ozark Highlands. Proceedings of the Soil Science Society of America. 36(3): 495–500.
- Graney, D.L.; Murphy, P.A. 1997. An evaluation of uneven-aged cutting methods in even-aged oak-hickory stands in the Boston Mountains of Arkansas. In: Pallardy, Stephen G.; Cecich, Robert A.; Garrett, H. Eugene; Johnson, Paul S., eds. Proceedings, 11th central hardwood forest conference; 1997 March 23–26; Columbia, MO. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-188. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station: 130–146.
- Graney, D.L.; Rogerson, T.L. 1985. Development of oak, ash and cherry reproduction following thinning and fertilization of upland hardwood stands in the Boston Mountains of Arkansas. In: Shoulders, E., ed. Proceedings of the third biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1984 November 7–8; Atlanta, GA. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-54. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 171–177.
- Grazing Lands Forum. 1993. An explosion in slow motion: noxious weeds and invasive alien plants on grazing lands. Washington, DC: Grazing Lands Forum. 69 p.
- Guldin, J. M.; Baker, J.B. 1998. Uneven-aged silviculture, southern style. *Journal of Forestry*. 96: 22–26.
- Guldin, J.M.; Baker, J.B.; Shelton, M.G. 1994. Pretreatment conditions in mature pine/hardwood stands on south-facing slopes of the Ouachita/Ozark National Forests: midstory and overstory plants. In: Baker, J.B., tech. comp. Proceedings of the symposium on ecosystem management research in the Ouachita Mountains: pretreatment conditions and preliminary findings; 1993 October 26–27; Hot Springs, AR. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-112. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 264 p.
- Guldin, J.M.; Carrillo, F.; Acosta, M.; Guries, R.P. 1998. Marking to cut versus marking to leave—a comparison of silvicultural tactics. In: Waldrop, T., tech. comp. Proceedings of the ninth biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1997 February 25–27; Clemson, SC. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-20. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 628 p.
- Guldin, J.M.; Shelton, M.G.; Wittwer, R.F. [and others]. 1993. New perspectives/ecosystem management research on the Ouachita/Ozark National Forests: phase II—silviculture research. Study Plan FS-SO-4106-91. Monticello, AR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 64 p.
- Guyette, Richard P.; Cutter, Bruce E. 1991. Tree ring analysis of fire history in a post oak savanna in the Missouri Ozarks. *Natural Areas Journal*. 11: 93–99.
- Guyette, Richard P.; Cutter, Bruce E. 1997. Fire history, population, and calcium cycling in the Current River watershed. In: Pallardy, Stephen G.; Cecich, Robert A.; Garrett, H. Eugene; Johnson, Paul S., eds. Proceedings, 11th central hardwood forest conference; 1997 March 23–26; Columbia, MO. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-188. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station: 355–372.
- Guyette, Richard P.; McGinnes, E.A. 1982. Fire history of an Ozark glade in Missouri. *Transactions of the Missouri Academy of Science*. 16: 85–93.
- Hagan, J.M., III; Johnston, D.W. 1992. Ecology and conservation of neotropical migrant landbirds. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press. 609 p.
- Haley, T.J. 1996. [Entomologist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Pineville, LA.] Forest health evaluation of the Ouachita National Forest. Pineville, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, State and Private Forestry, Forest Health Protection: 23 p. [Unpublished report on file with the Entomologist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Pineville, LA.]
- Hallgren, S.W.; Ferris, D.M. 1995. Benomyl applied to roots improves second-year survival and growth of shortleaf pine. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 19(1): 36–41.

- Hallgren, S.W.; Tauer, C.G. 1989. Root growth potential, first-year survival, and growth of shortleaf pine seedlings show effects of lift date, storage, and family. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 13(4): 163–169.
- Hallgren, S.W.; Tauer, C.G.; Weeks, D.L. 1993. Cultural, environmental, and genetic factors interact to affect performance of planted shortleaf pine. *Forest Science*. 39(3): 478–498.
- Halliday, William R. 1982. *American caves and caving, techniques, pleasures, and safeguards of modern cave exploration*. New York: Barnes and Noble. 348 p.
- Halls, Lowell K. 1977a. Flowering dogwood/*Cornus florida* L. In: Halls, Lowell K., ed. *Southern fruit-producing woody plants used by wildlife*. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-16. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 33–35.
- Halls, Lowell K. 1977b. Chinkapin/*Castanea* spp. In: Halls, Lowell, K., ed. *Southern fruit-producing woody plants used by wildlife*. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-16. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 144–145.
- Halls, Lowell K. 1984. *White-tailed deer: ecology and management*. Harrisburg, PA: Stackpole Books: 870 p.
- Hamel, P.B. 1992. *The land manager's guide to the birds of the South*. Chapel Hill, NC: The Nature Conservancy. 437 p.
- Hansen, Mark H.; Frieswyk, Thomas; Glover, Joseph F.; Kelly, John F. 1992. *The eastwide forest inventory data base: user's manual*. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-151. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 48 p.
- Harlow, William M.; Harrar, Ellwood S. 1969. *Textbook of dendrology*. 5th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill: 285–287.
- Harmon, Debbie; Lockhart, Jami J.; Sabo, George, III. 1996. From surveyor's chains to satellites: a study of historic environmental change and land use on the Lee Creek Unit of the Ozark National Forest, Crawford and Washington Counties, Arkansas. *Arkansas Archeological Survey Project 955*. Fayetteville, AR: Arkansas Archeological Survey. 56 p.
- Harrington, C.A.; Brissette, J.C.; Carlson, W.C. 1989. Root system structure in planted and seeded loblolly and shortleaf pine. *Forest Science*. 35(2): 469–480.
- Harty, Francis M. 1991. How Illinois kicked the exotic habit. In: McKnight, Bill, N., ed. *Proceedings of a conference on biological pollution: the control and impact of invasive exotic species; 1991 October 25–26; Indianapolis, IN*. Indiana Academy of Science, Indianapolis, IN. 195–209.
- Harvey, Michael J.; Redman, Ronald K. 1996. *Endangered bats of Arkansas: distribution, status, and ecology (1995–1996)*, annual report to Arkansas Game and Fish Commission. Proj. W-56-R. Little Rock, AR: Arkansas Game and Fish Commission. 29 p.
- Hatcher, Robert D., Jr.; Thomas, William A.; Viele, George W., eds. 1990. *The Appalachian-Ouachita orogen in the United States*. Boulder, CO: Geologic Society of America. 781 p.
- Hawker, Jon L. [n.d.] *Missouri landscapes: a tour through time*. Rolla, MO: Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of Geology and Land Survey. 326 p.
- Hayes, Jane L.; Strom, B.L.; Roton, L.M.; Ingram, L.L., Jr., inventors; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service and Mississippi State University, assignees. 1995. A repellent of bark beetles. U.S. Patent 5,403,863.
- Hazell, Don B. 1967. Effects of grazing intensity on plant composition, vigor, and production. *Journal of Range Management*. 20(4): 249–252.
- Heath, Darrel R.; Saugey, D.A.; Heidt, G.A. 1986. Abandoned mine fauna of the Ouachita mountains, Arkansas: vertebrate taxa. *Proceedings Arkansas Academy of Science*. 40: 33–36.
- Hedlund, Arnold; Earles, J.M. 1970. *Forest statistics for Arkansas counties*. Resour. Bull. SO-22. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 52 p.
- Henderson, Douglas; Hedrick, Larry D., eds. 1991. *Proceedings of the conference on restoration of old growth forests in the Interior Highlands of Arkansas and Oklahoma; 1990 September 19–20; Morrilton, AR*. Hot Springs, AR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Ouachita National Forest; Morrilton, AR: Winrock International Institute for Agricultural Development. 190 p.
- Hibben, C.R.; Daughtrey, M.L. 1988. Dogwood anthracnose in Northeastern United States. *Plant Disease*. 72: 19–203.
- Hines, F. Dee. 1988a. *Forest statistics for Arkansas' Ouachita counties—1988*. Resour. Bull. SO-137. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 28 p.
- Hines, F. Dee. 1988b. *Forest statistics for Arkansas' Ozark counties—1988*. Resour. Bull. SO-131. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 39 p.
- Hoffman, Randy; Kearns, Kelly. 1997. *Wisconsin manual of control recommendations for ecologically invasive plants*. Madison, WI: Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. 102 p.

- Horst, R. Kenneth. 1990. Westcott's plant disease handbook. 5th ed. New York: Chapman & Hall. 175.
- Houck, Louis. 1908. History of Missouri from the earliest explorations and settlements until the admission of the State into the union. Chicago: R.R. Donnelley & Sons. 3 Vols.
- Hu, S.; Burns, P.Y. 1987. Shortleaf pine: a bibliography, 1896–1984. Res. Rep. No. 11, April 1987. Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana State University, Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station, School of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries. 97 p.
- Hubbell, Sue. 1993. Broad-sides from the other orders: a book of bugs. New York: Random House. 276 p.
- Huebschmann, Michael M.; Lynch, Thomas B.; Murphy, Paul A. 1997. Shortleaf pine stand simulator: an even-aged natural shortleaf pine growth and yield model. Stillwater, OK: Oklahoma State University, Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station Research Report P-967. 25 p.
- Hunter, Malcolm L., Jr. 1996. Fundamentals of conservation biology. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Science, Inc. 482 p.
- Hunter, W.C.; Carter, M.F.; Pashley, D.N.; Barker, K. 1993. The Partners in Flight species prioritization scheme. In: Finch, D. M.; Stangel, P. W., eds. Status and management of neotropical migratory birds. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-229. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 109–119.
- Jacobson, Robert B. 1995. Spatial controls on patterns of landuse induced stream disturbance at the drainage-basin scale—an example from gravel-bed streams of the Ozark Plateaus, Missouri. *Geomorphology*. 89: 219–239.
- James, Douglas A.; Neal, Joseph C. 1986. Arkansas birds. Fayetteville, AR: The University of Arkansas Press. 402 p.
- James, E., ed. 1823. An expedition from Pittsburgh to the Rocky Mountains. Philadelphia: H.C. Carey. 442 p. + atlas. Vol. 2.
- Janzen, G.C.; Hodges, J.D. 1987. Development of advanced oak regeneration as influenced by removal of midstory and understory vegetation. In: Phillips, D.R., comp. Proceedings of the fourth biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1986 November 4–6; Atlanta, GA. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-42. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station: 455–461.
- Jenkins, Sean E.; Guyette, Richard P.; Rebertus, Alan J. 1997. Vegetation-site relationships and fire history of a savanna-glade-woodland mosaic in the Ozarks. In: Pallardy, Stephen G.; Cecich, Robert A.; Garrett, H. Eugene; Johnson, Paul S., eds. Proceedings, 11th central hardwood forest conference; 1997 March 23–26; Columbia, MO. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-188. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station: 184–201.
- Jensen, Peter N.; Schumacher, C.M. 1969. Changes in prairie plant composition. *Journal of Range Management*. 22(1): 57–60.
- Johnson, F.L.; Schnell, G.D. 1985. Wildland fire history and the effects of fire on vegetative communities at Hot Springs National Park, Arkansas. Norman, OK: Oklahoma Biological Survey. 49 p.
- Johnson, George P. 1988. Revision of *Castanea* sect. *Balanocastanon* (Fagaceae). *Journal of the Arnold Arboretum*. 69: 25–49.
- Johnson, P.S. 1977. Predicting oak stump sprouting and sprout development in the Missouri Ozarks. Res. Pap. NC-149. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 11 p.
- Johnson, P.S. 1979. Shoot elongation of black oak and white oak sprouts. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research*. 9: 489–494.
- Johnson, P.S. 1993. Perspectives on the ecology and silviculture of oak-dominated forests in the Central and Eastern States. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-153. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 28 p.
- Johnson, P.S. 1997. The silviculture of upland central hardwoods: 25 years of change. In: Meyer, D.A., ed. Proceedings of the twenty-fifth annual hardwood symposium: 25 years of hardwood silviculture: a look back and a look ahead. Cashiers, NC: Hardwood Research Council: 17–44.
- Johnson, P.S.; Jacobs, R.D.; Martin, A.J.; Godel, E.D. 1989. Regenerating northern red oak: three successful case histories. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 6(4): 174–178.
- Johnson, W.T. 1956. The Asiatic oak weevil and other insects causing damage to chestnut foliage in Maryland. *Journal Economic Entomology*. 49(5): 717–718.
- Kessler, Kenneth J., Jr. 1992. Oak decline on public lands in the central forest region. Res. Note NC-362. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station: 1–4.
- Keys, James E. Jr.; Carpenter, Constance A.; Hooks, Susan L. [and others] 1995. Ecological units of the Eastern United States, first approximation. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region. 82 p.

- Kimmins, J.P. 1987. Forest ecology. New York: MacMillan. 531 p.
- Kowal, R.J. 1960. Southern pine beetle. [Pest leaflet 49.] Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 7 p.
- Kreiter, Scott D. 1995. Dynamics and spatial pattern of a virgin old-growth hardwood-pine forest in the Ouachita Mountains, Oklahoma, from 1896 to 1994. Stillwater, OK: Oklahoma State University. 141 p. M.S. thesis.
- Kress, M.W.; Baker, R.; Ursic, S.J. 1990. Chemistry response of two forested watersheds to acid atmospheric deposition. *Water Resources Bulletin*. 26(5): 747–756.
- Kurtz, W.B.; Garrett, H.E.; Williams, R.A. 1981. Young stands of scarlet oak in Missouri can be thinned profitably. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 5(1): 12–16.
- Ladd, Douglas. 1991. Reexamination of the role of fire in Missouri oak woodlands. In: Proceedings, oak woods management workshop; October 21–22, 1988. Charleston, IL: Eastern Illinois University: 76–80.
- Ladd, Douglas; Huemann, Blane. 1994. [Director of Science, Stewardship and Registry, Missouri Field Office, The Nature Conservancy, St. Louis, MO.] Baseline ecological assessment of selected oak woodlands on the Houston-Rolla District, Mark Twain National Forest. Rolla, MO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 66 p. [Unpublished report on file at the Supervisor's Office, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Mark Twain National Forest, Rolla, MO.]
- Lambeth, C.C. 1984. Large-scale planting of North Carolina loblolly pine in Arkansas and Oklahoma: a case of gain versus risk. *Journal of Forestry* 82(12): 736–741.
- Lanier, G.N. 1972. Biosystematics of the genus *Ips* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) in North America, Happing's groups IV and X. *Canadian Journal of Entomology*. 49(5): 717–718.
- Larsen, D.R.; Metzger, M.A.; Johnson, P.S. 1997. Oak regeneration and overstory density in the Missouri Ozarks. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*. 27(6): 869–75.
- LaVal, R.K.; LaVal, M.L. 1980. Ecological studies and management of Missouri bats, with emphasis on cave-dwelling species. Terrestrial ser. No. 8. Columbia, MO: Missouri Department of Conservation. 53 p.
- Law, J.R.; Gott, J.D. 1987. Oak mortality in the Missouri Ozarks. In: Hay, Ronald L.; Woods, Frank W.; DeSelm, Hal, eds. Proceedings, central hardwood forest conference VI; 1987 February 24–26; Knoxville, TN. Knoxville, TN: University of Tennessee, Division of Continuing Education: 427–436.
- Law, J.R.; Lorimer, C.G. 1989. Managing uneven-aged stands. In: Clark, F. Bryan; Hutchinson, Jay G., eds. Central hardwood notes. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station: 6.08-1–6.08-6.
- Lawson, E.R. 1990. *Pinus echinata* Mill, shortleaf pine. In: Burns, R.M.; Honkala, B.H.; tech. coords. *Silvics of North America: Volume 1, Conifers*. Agric. Handb. 654. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Timber Management Research: 316–326.
- Lawson, E.R.; Kitchens, R. 1983. Shortleaf pine. In: Burns, R.M., tech. comp. *Silvicultural systems for the major forest types of the United States*. Agric. Handb. 445. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 157–161.
- Laycock, William A. 1967. How heavy grazing and protection affect sagebrush-grass ranges. *Journal of Range Management*. 20(4): 206–213.
- Leatherberry, Earl C. 1990. Timber resources of Missouri's eastern Ozarks. Resour. Bull. NC-115. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 48 p.
- Lee, J. Charles. 1980. Integrated use in the South: a biological perspective. In: Child, R. Dennis; Byington, Everet, eds. Proceedings, southern forest range and pasture symposium; 1980 March 13–14; New Orleans, LA. Morrilton, AR: Winrock International: 51–57.
- Leopold, Aldo. 1931. Report on a game survey of North Central States. Madison, WI: Democrat Printing: 299 p.
- Lewis, J.B. 1992. Eastern wild turkey in Midwestern oak-hickory forest. In: Dickson, James G., ed. *The wild turkey: biology and management*. Harrisburg, PA: Stackpole Books: 286–305.
- Liebhold, A.M.; Halverson, J.A.; Elmes, G.A. 1992. Gypsy moth invasion in North America: a quantitative analysis. *Journal of Biogeography*. 19: 513–520.
- Little, Elbert L., Jr. 1977. Atlas of United States trees: minor eastern hardwoods. Misc. Pub. No. 1342. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 17 p. + 230 maps. Vol. 4.
- Lockhart, Jami J.; Hilliard, Jerry E.; Sabo, George, III; Weddle, Deborah, A. 1995. The evolution of human ecosystems in the Ozark National Forest, a pilot study of the Lee Creek Unit. Arkansas Archeological Survey Project 876. Fayetteville, AR: Arkansas Archeological Survey. 125 p.
- Loewenstein, E.F. 1996. An analysis of the size- and age-structure of an uneven-aged oak forest. Columbia, MO: University of Missouri. 167 p. Ph.D. dissertation.

- Loewenstein, E.F.; Garrett, H.E.; Johnson, P.S.; Dwyer, J.P. 1995. Changes in a Missouri Ozark oak-hickory forest during 40 years of uneven-aged management. In: Gottschalk, K.W.; Fosbroke, S.L.C., eds. Proceedings, 10th central hardwood forest conference; 1995 March 5–8; Morgantown, WV. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-197. Radnor, PA: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station: 159–164.
- Loftis, D.L. 1983. Regenerating red oak on productive sites in the southern Appalachians: a research approach. In: Jones, E.P., ed. Proceedings of the second biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1982 November 4–5; Atlanta, GA. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-24. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station: 144–150.
- Loftis, D.L. 1990. A shelterwood method for regenerating red oak in the southern Appalachians. *Forest Science*. 36(4): 917–929.
- London, Jack D. 1997. Forest statistics for Arkansas counties—1995. Resour. Bull. SRS-17. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 86 p.
- Lorimer, C.G. 1989. The oak regeneration problem: new evidence on causes and possible solutions. In: Proceedings, seventeenth annual hardwood symposium of the Hardwood Research Council; 1989 May 7–10; Merrimac, WI. Cashiers, NC: Hardwood Research Council: 23–40.
- Lorimer, C.G. 1992. Causes of the oak regeneration problem. In: Oak regeneration: serious problems and practical recommendations. Gen. Tech. Rept. SE-84. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 14–39.
- Lynch, T.B.; Murphy, P.A. 1995. A compatible height prediction and projection system for individual trees in natural, even-aged shortleaf pine stands. *Forest Science* 41(1): 194–209.
- Lynch, T.B.; Murphy, P.A.; Lawson, E.R. 1991. Stand volume equations for managed natural even-aged shortleaf pine [*Pinus echinata*] in eastern Oklahoma and western Arkansas. Res. Rept. P-921. Stillwater, OK: Oklahoma State University, Division of Agriculture, Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station. 12 p.
- MacDonald, W.L.; Hindal, D.F. 1981. Life cycle and epidemiology of *Ceratocystis*. In: Mace, Marshall E.; Bell, Alois A.; Beckman, Carl H., eds. Fungal wilt diseases of plants. New York: Academic Press: 128–139.
- Manion, P.D. 1981. Tree disease concepts. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc: 324–339.
- Marini, M.A.; Robinson, S.K.; Heske, E.J. 1995. Edge effects on nest predation in the Shawnee National Forest, southern Illinois. *Biological Conservation*. 74: 203–213.
- Marquis, D.A. 1965. Controlling light in small clearcuttings. Res. Pap. NE-39. Upper Darby, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. 16 p.
- Marquis, D.A. 1978. Application of uneven-aged silviculture on public and private lands. In: U.S. Department of Agriculture. Uneven-aged silviculture and management in the United States: combined: Proceedings of two in-service workshops; 1975 July 15–17; Morgantown, WV; 1976 October 19–21; Redding, CA. Gen. Tech. Rep. WO-24. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 25–63.
- Marquis, R.J.; Whelan, C.J. 1994. Insectivorous birds increase growth of white oak through consumption of leaf chewing insects. *Ecology*. 75: 2007–2014.
- Martin, B.; Kline, D. 1985. Fire management considerations and fire regimes. Rolla, MO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Mark Twain National Forest. 12 p.
- Martin, T.E.; Finch, D.M. 1995. Ecology and management of neotropical migratory birds. New York: Oxford University Press. 489 p.
- Martin, Paul; Houf, Garry F. 1993. Glade grasslands in southwest Missouri. *Rangelands*. 15(2): 70–72.
- Masters, R.E.; Engle, D.M.; Robinson, R. 1993. Effects of timber harvest and periodic fire on soil chemical properties in the Ouachita Mountains. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 17(3): 139–145.
- Masters, R.E.; Skeen, John E.; Whitehead, James. 1995. Preliminary fire history of McCurtain County Wilderness Area and implications for red-cockaded woodpecker management. In: Kulhavy, David L.; Hopper, Robert G.; Costa, Ralph, eds. Red-cockaded woodpecker: species recovery, ecology, and management. Nacogdoches, TX: Stephen F. Austin University, Center for Applied Studies: 290–302.
- Masters, R.E.; Wilson, C.W.; Bukenhofer, G.A.; Payton, M.E. 1996. Effects of pine-grassland restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers on white-tailed deer forage production. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*. 24(1): 77–84.
- Mattoon, W.B. 1915. Life history of shortleaf pine. Bull. 244. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 46 p.
- McAndrews, A.H. 1926. The biology of the southern pine beetle. New York State College of Forestry, Syracuse, NY. 103 p. M.S. Thesis.

- McGarigal, Kevin; Marks, Barbara J. 1995. FRAGSTATS: spatial analysis program for quantifying landscape structure. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR 351. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 122 p.
- McGee, C.E. 1984. Heavy mortality and succession in a virgin mixed mesophytic forest. Res. Pap. SO-209. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 9 p.
- McGinnes, E.A., Jr. 1982. Fire history of an Ozark glade in Missouri. Transactions Missouri Academy of Science. 16: 85–93.
- McKinley, Daniel. 1962. The history of the black bear in Missouri. Bluebird. 29(3): 1–15.
- McLemore, B.F. 1990. *Cornus florida* L. Flowering dogwood. In: Burns, Russell M.; Honkala, Barbara H., tech. coords. Silvics of North America: volume 2 hardwoods. Agric. Handb. 654. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 278–283.
- McNab, W.H.; Avers, P.E., comps. 1994. Ecological subregions of the United States: section descriptions. WO-WSA-5. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. [Not paged].
- McQuilkin, R.A. 1975. Growth of four types of white oak reproduction after clearcutting in the Missouri Ozarks. Res. Pap. NC-116. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 5 p.
- Mendel, Joseph J. 1961. Timber resources of the eastern Ozarks. Bull. B779. Columbia, MO: University of Missouri, Agricultural Experiment Station; in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 27 p.
- Merz, R.W.; Boyce, S.G. 1956. Age of oak seedlings. Journal of Forestry. 54(11): 774–775.
- Meyer, John. 1986. Management of old growth forests in Missouri. Jefferson City, MO: State of Missouri, Conservation Commission. 16 p.
- Mielke, Manfred W.; Daughtrey, Margery L. 1991. How to identify and control dogwood anthracnose [Leaflet]. NA-GR-18. Radnor, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Area, State and Private Forestry. [Not paged].
- Miles, Patrick D. 1990. Timber resources of Missouri's southwest Ozarks. Resour. Bull. NC-116. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 44 p.
- Miller, G.W. 1996. Epicormic branching on central Appalachian hardwoods 10 years after deferment cutting. Res. Pap. NE-702. Radnor, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. 9 p.
- Miller, James E.; Leopold, Bruce D. 1992. Population influences: predators. In: James G., ed. The wild turkey: biology and management. Harrisburg, PA: Stackpole Books: 119–128.
- Minckler, L.S.; Woerheide, J.D. 1965. Reproduction of hardwoods 10 years after cutting as affected by site and opening size. Journal of Forestry. 63(2): 103–107.
- Mitchell, R.J.; Garrett, H.E.; Cox, G.S. [and others]. 1987. Boron fertilization, ectomycorrhizal colonization, and growth of *Pinus echinata* seedlings. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 17(10): 1153–1156.
- Monks, William. 1907. History of southern Missouri and northern Arkansas: being an account of the early settlements, the Civil War, the Ku-Klux, and times of peace. West Plains, MO: West Plains Journal Company. 247 p.
- Moser, John C.; Bridges, J. Robert; Yin, Hui-Fen. 1995. Ascospore dispersal of *Ceratocystiopsis ranaculosus*, a mycangial fungus of the southern pine beetle. Mycologia. 87(1): 84–86.
- Mudd, J.A. 1888. History of Lincoln County, Missouri from the earliest time to the present. Chicago, IL: Goodspeed. 261 p.
- Murphy, P.A. 1982. Sawtimber growth and yield for natural even-aged stands of shortleaf pine in the West Gulf. Res. Pap. SO-181. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 13 p.
- Murphy, P.A., ed. 1986. Proceedings of symposium on the shortleaf pine ecosystem; 1986 March 29–April 2; Little Rock, AR. Monticello, AR: University of Arkansas, Arkansas Cooperative Extension Service, Department of Forest Resources. 272 p.
- Murphy, P.A.; Beltz, R.C. 1981. Growth and yield of shortleaf pine in the West Gulf region. Res. Pap. SO-169. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 15 p.
- Murphy, P.A.; Baker, J.B.; Lawson, E.R. 1991. Selection management of shortleaf pine in the Ouachita Mountains. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry. 15(1): 61–67.
- Murphy, P.A.; Lawson, E.R.; Lynch, T.B. 1992. Basal area and volume development of natural even-aged shortleaf pine stands in the Ouachita Mountains. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry. 16(1): 30–34.
- Murphy, P.A.; Shelton, M.G.; Graney, D.L. 1993. Group selection—problems and possibilities for the more shade-tolerant

- species. In: Gillespie A.R.; Parker, G.R.; Pope, P.E.; Rink, G., eds. Proceedings, 9th central hardwood forest conference; Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-161. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station: 229–247.
- Mutch, Robert W.; Cook, Wayne A. 1996. Restoring fire to ecosystems: methods vary with land management goals. In: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. The use of fire in forest restoration. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 9–11.
- Natural Areas Association. 1992. Compendium on exotic species. Mukwonago, WI: Natural Areas Association. 174 p.
- Nelson, John C. 1997. Presettlement vegetation patterns along the 5th Principal Meridian, Missouri Territory, 1815. *American Midland Naturalist*. 137: 79–94.
- Nelson, Paul. 1993. Quantum leap: back to a hidden paradise. *Missouri Resource Review*. Spring: 16–21.
- Nelson, Paul W. 1985. The terrestrial natural communities of Missouri. Jefferson City, MO: Missouri Department of Natural Resources. 197 p.
- Nickles, J.K.; Tauer, C.G.; Stritzke, J.F. 1981. Use of prescribed fire and hexazinone (Velpar) to thin understory shortleaf pine in an Oklahoma pine-hardwood stand. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 5(3): 124–127.
- Nigh, T.A.; Pallardy, S.G.; Garrett, H.E. 1985. Changes in upland oak-hickory forests of central Missouri: 1968–1982. In: Dawson, J.O.; Majerus, K.A., eds. Proceedings of the fifth central hardwood forest conference; 1985 April 15–17; Urbana, IL: University of Illinois, Department of Forestry: 170–177.
- Nigh, Timothy A.; Pflieger, William L.; Redfearn, Paul L. Jr. [and others]. 1992. The biodiversity of Missouri: definition, status, and recommendations for its conservation. [Report of the Biodiversity Task Force.] Jefferson City, MO: Missouri Department of Conservation and Mark Twain National Forest. 53 p.
- Noss, Reed F.; LaRoe, Edward T., III; Scott, J. Michael. 1995. Endangered ecosystems of the United States: a preliminary assessment of loss and degradation. Biological Rep. 28. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Interior, National Biological Service. 59 p.
- Novak, Milan; Baker, James; Obbard, Martyn; Malloch, Bruce, eds. 1987. Wild furbearer management and conservation in North America. Toronto: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. 1,150 p.
- Nowacki, Gregory J.; Trianowsky, Paul A. 1993. Literature on old-growth forests of Eastern North America. *Natural Areas Journal*. 13(2): 87–107.
- Nuttall, Thomas. 1837. Collections toward a flora of the territory of Arkansas. *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society*. 2: 139–202.
- Nuttall, Thomas. 1966. A journal of travels into the Arkansaw Territory. Ann Arbor, MI: University Microfilms. 296 p.
- Nuttall, Thomas. 1980. A journal of travels into the Arkansaw Territory during the year 1819. In: Lottinville, Savoie, ed. The American exploration and travel series. Norman, OK: University of Oklahoma Press. 361 p. Vol. 66.
- Nyland, R.D. 1996. Silviculture concepts and applications. New York: McGraw-Hill. 633 p.
- Oklahoma Biodiversity Task Force (OK BTF). 1996. Oklahoma's biodiversity plan: a shared vision for conserving our natural heritage. Oklahoma City, OK: Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation. 129 p.
- Omernik, J.M. 1987. Ecoregions of the conterminous United States. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*. 77(1): 118–125.
- Ostrom, Arnold J.; Hahn, Jerold T. 1974. Timber resources of Missouri's southwestern Ozarks. Resour. Bull. NC-21. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 60 p.
- Ostry, M.E.; Mielke, M.E.; Anderson, R.L. 1996. How to identify butternut canker and manage butternut trees. HT-70. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. [Not paged].
- Ostry, M.E.; Mielke, M.E.; Skilling, D.D. 1994. Butternut—strategies for managing a threatened tree. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-165. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 7 p.
- Packard, S. 1991. Restoring oak ecosystems. *Restoration and Management Notes*. 11(1): 5–16.
- Pallardy, S.G.; Nigh, T.A.; Garrett, H.E. 1988. Changes in forest composition in central Missouri: 1968–1982. *American Midland Naturalist*. 120(2): 380–390.
- Palmer, Ernest J. 1921. The forest of the Ozark region. *Journal of the Arnold Arboretum*. 2: 216–232.
- Parker, G.R. 1989. Old-growth forests of the central hardwood region. *Natural Areas Journal*. 9(1): 5–11.
- Paton, P. 1994. The effect of edge on avian nest success: how strong is the evidence? *Conservation Biology*. 8: 17–26.

- Payne, T.L.; Billings, Ronald F. 1989. Evaluation of (S)-verbenone applications for suppressing southern pine beetle (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) infestations. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 82(6): 1702–1708.
- Pell, William F. 1983. The natural divisions of Arkansas: a revised classification and description. *Natural Areas Journal*. 3(2): 12–23.
- Penfound, William T. 1964. The relation of grazing to plant succession in the tall grass prairie. *Journal of Range Management*. 17(5): 256–260.
- Pharris, L.D. 1981. Evaluation of black bear survey data in Arkansas, 1976–1980. *Proceedings of the Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies*. 35: 66–70.
- Probst, J.R.; Thompson, F.R., III. 1996. A multi-scale assessment of the geographic and ecological distribution of midwestern neotropical migratory birds. In: Thompson, F. R., III, ed. *Management of midwestern landscapes for the conservation of neotropical migratory birds*. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-187. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station: 21–40.
- Puckette, W.L. 1974–75. Bear caves. *Oklahoma Underground*. 7: 15–17.
- Pyne, Stephen J. 1982. *Fire in America: a cultural history of wildland and rural fire*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. 654 p.
- Rafferty, M.D. 1996. *Rude pursuits and rugged peaks, Schoolcraft's Ozark journal 1818–1819*. Fayetteville, AR: The University of Arkansas Press. 170 p.
- Rebertus, A. 1994. A changing view of fire. *Missouri Wildlife*. Oct–Nov: 8.
- Renlund, D.W., ed. 1971. *Forest pest conditions in Wisconsin*. Ann. Rep. Madison, WI: Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. 53 p.
- Rexrode, Charles O.; Brown, H. Daniel. 1983. Oak wilt. *For. Insect & Dis. Leaf*. 29. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 6 p.
- Reynolds, R.R. 1969. Twenty-nine years of selection timber management on the Crossett Experimental Forest. Res. Pap. SO-40. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 19 p.
- Reynolds, R.R.; Baker, J.B.; Ku, T.T. 1984. Four decades of selection timber management on the Crossett Farm forestry forties. Bull. 872. Fayetteville, AR: University of Arkansas, Division of Agriculture, Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station. 43 p.
- Rink, George. 1990. *Juglans cinerea* L. Butternut. In: Burns, Russell M.; Honkala, Barbara H., tech. coords. *Silvics of North America: volume 2, hardwoods*. Agric. Handb. 654. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 386–390.
- Roach, B.A. 1962. Practical silviculture for central hardwood stands. *Southern Lumberman*: 34–38.
- Roach, B.A.; Gingrich, S.F. 1968. Even-aged silviculture for upland central hardwoods. Agric. Handb. 355. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 39 p.
- Robbins, C. S.; Bystrak, D.; Geissler, P.H. 1986. *The breeding bird survey: its first fifteen years, 1965–1979*. Res. Pub. 157. Washington, DC: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 196 p.
- Robinson, S.K.; Thompson, F.R., III; Donovan, T.M. [and others]. 1995. Regional forest fragmentation and the nesting success of migratory birds. *Science*. 26: 1987–1990.
- Rodgers, M.J. 1973. Movements and reproductive success of black bear introduced into Arkansas. *Proceedings of the annual conference of the Southeastern Association of Game and Fish Commissioners*. 27: 307–308.
- Roelofs, W. 1873. *Curculionides recueillis* au Japan par M.G. Lewis. *Annual Society Entomology de Belgique*. 16: 168.
- Rogers, J.G., Jr.; Dwyer, D.J.; Martin, C. 1993. Partners in flight: past, present, and future; a Government perspective. In: Finch, D.M.; Stangel, P.W., eds. *Status and management of neotropical migratory birds*. Gen. Tech. Rept. RM-229. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 5–6.
- Rosson, James F., Jr.; London, Jack D. 1997. Forest statistics for Arkansas' Ozark counties—1995. *Resour. Bull. SRS-15*. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 45 p.
- Rosson, James F., Jr.; London, Jack D. 1997. Forest statistics for Arkansas' Ouachita counties—1995. *Resour. Bull. SRS-10*. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 39 p.
- Rothstein, S. I.; Verner, J.; Stevens, E. 1984. Radio-tracking confirms a unique diurnal pattern of spatial occurrence in the parasitic brown-headed cowbird. *Ecology*. 65: 77–88.
- Rowland, E.R., ed. 1930. *Life, letters and papers of William Dunbar*. Jackson, MS: Mississippi Historical Society. 410 p.
- Rudis, Victor A.; Tansey, John B. 1995. Regional assessment of remote forests and black bear habitat from forest resource surveys. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 59(1): 170–180.

- Runkle, James R. 1991. Natural disturbance regimes and the maintenance of stable regional floras. In: Henderson, Douglas; Hedrick, Larry D., eds. Proceedings of the conference on the restoration of old growth forests in the Interior Highlands of Arkansas and Oklahoma; 1990 September 19–20; Morrilton, AR. Hot Springs, AR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Ouachita National Forest; Morrilton, AR: Winrock International Institute for Agricultural Development: 31–48.
- Salwasser, H. 1994. Ecosystem management: can it sustain diversity and productivity? *Journal of Forestry*. 92: 6–10.
- Sander, I.L. 1966. Composition and distribution of hardwood reproduction after harvest cutting. In: Proceedings, 1966 symposium on hardwoods of the Piedmont and Coastal Plain. Macon, GA: Georgia Forest Research Council: 30–33.
- Sander, I.L. 1971. Height growth of new oak sprouts depends on size of advance reproduction. *Journal of Forestry*. 69(11): 809–811.
- Sander, I.L. 1977. Manager's handbook for oaks in the North Central States. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-37. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 35 p.
- Sander, I.L.; Clark, F.B. 1971. Reproduction of upland hardwood forests in the Central States. *Agric. Handb.* 405. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 25 p.
- Sander, I.L.; Graney, D.L. 1993. Regenerating oaks in the Central States. In: Loftis, D.L.; McGee, C.E., eds. Oak regeneration: serious problems, practical recommendations. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-84. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station: 174–183.
- Sander, I.L.; Johnson, P.S.; Rogers, R. 1984. Evaluating oak advance reproduction in the Missouri Ozarks. Res. Pap. NC-251. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 16 p.
- Sander, I.L.; Johnson, P.S.; Watt, R.F. 1976. A guide for evaluating the adequacy of oak advance reproduction. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-23. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 7 p.
- Sander, Ivan L. 1980. Some silvicultural and management options for upland hardwoods of the Mid-South. In: Proceedings, Mid-South upland hardwood symposium for the practicing forester and land manager; 1980 April 30–May 2; Harrison, AR. Tech. Pub. SA-TP 12. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, State and Private Forestry, Southeastern Area: 88–96.
- Sanders, Darryl. 1991. Bee and wasp pests. Columbia, MO: University of Missouri-Columbia, University Extension. 4 p.
- Sanderson, G.C. 1987. Raccoon. In: Novak, Milan; Baker, James A.; Obbard, Martyn E.; Malloch, Bruce, eds. Wild furbearer management and conservation in North America. Toronto, ON: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources: 486–499.
- Sauer, J.R.; Hines, J.E.; Gough, G. [and others]. [Accessed January 1997]. The North American breeding bird survey: results and analysis. Version 96.3. <<http://www.mbr.nbs.gov>>. [Various pagination].
- Saughey, David A.; Heidt, Gary A.; Heath, Darrell R. 1988. Utilization of abandoned mine drifts and fracture caves by bats and salamanders: unique subterranean habitat in the Ouachita mountains. In: Proceedings of the symposium on management of amphibians, reptiles and small mammals in North America; 1998 July 19–21; Flagstaff, AZ. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-166. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 64–71.
- Saughey, David A.; Heidt, Gary A.; Heath, Darrell R. 1989. Bats of the Ouachita Mountains. *Proceedings of the Arkansas Academy of Science*. 43: 33–36.
- Saveland, Jim. 1995. Fire in the forest. In: Eskew, Lane G., comp. Forest health through silviculture workshop; 1995 May 8–11; Mescalero, NM. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-GTR-267. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 14–19.
- Schlesinger, R.C. 1978. Increased growth of released white oak poles continues through two decades. *Journal of Forestry*. 76(11): 726–727.
- Schlesinger, Richard C. 1976. Sixteen years of selection silviculture in upland hardwood stands. Res. Pap. NC-125. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 6 p.
- Schoolcraft, Henry Rowe. 1821. *Journal of a tour into the interior of Missouri and Arkansas performed in the year 1818 and 1819*. London: Richards Phillips and Co. 170 p.
- Schroeder, Walter A. 1982. Presettlement prairie of Missouri. Natural History Series, No. 2. Jefferson City: Missouri Department of Conservation. 37 p.
- Schroeder, Walter; Haithcoat, Tim; Porter, Shannon 1997. Pilot project proposal, Missouri historic vegetation project. [Unpublished report on file at the Forest Supervisor's office, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Mark Twain National Forest, Rolla, MO.]

- Schruben, P.G.; Arndt, R.E.; Bawiec, W.J.; Ambroziac, R.J. 1994. Geology of the conterminous U.S. at 1:2,500,000 scale [A digital representation of the P.B. King and H.M. Beikman 1974 map of geology of the conterminous United States]. U.S. Geological Survey, Oklahoma City, OK.
- Sealander, John A.; Heidt, Gary A. 1990. Arkansas mammals: their natural history, classification, and distribution. Fayetteville, AR: The University of Arkansas Press. 308 p.
- Shelton, M.G. 1997. Development of understory vegetation in pine and pine-hardwood shelterwood stands in the Ouachita Mountains—the first three years. Res. Pap. SRS-8. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 18 p.
- Shelton, M.G.; Murphy, P.A. 1997. Understory vegetation 3 years after implementing uneven-aged silviculture in a shortleaf pine-oak stand. Res. Pap. SO-296. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 13 p.
- Shelton, M.G.; Wittwer, R.F. 1992. Effects of seedbed condition on natural shortleaf pine regeneration. In: Brissette, J.C.; Barnett, J.P., comps. Proceedings of the shortleaf pine regeneration workshop; 1991 October 29–31; Little Rock, AR. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-90. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 124–139.
- Shelton, M.G.; Wittwer, R.F. 1996. Shortleaf pine seed production in natural stands in the Ouachita and Ozark Mountains. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry. 20(2): 74–90.
- Shores, Elizabeth F. 1994. The red imported fire ant: mythology and public policy, 1957–1992. Arkansas Historical Quarterly. 53(3): 320–339.
- Sims, Daniel H. 1980. An overview of Mid-South upland hardwood regeneration problems and alternatives. In: Mid-South upland hardwood symposium for the practicing forester and land manager: Proceedings of a symposium; 1980 April 30–May 2; Harrison, AR. Tech. Pub. SA-TP 12. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Division of State and Private Forestry, Southeastern Area: 56–63.
- Smith, C.C. 1940. The effect of overgrazing and erosion upon the biota of the mixed grass prairie of Oklahoma. Ecology. 21: 381–397.
- Smith, D.M. 1986. The practice of silviculture. 8th ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons. 527 p.
- Smith, D.M. 1989. Even-aged management: when is it appropriate and what does it reveal about stand development? In: Martin, C. Wayne; Smith, C. Tattersall; Tritton, Louise M., eds. New perspectives on silvicultural management of northern hardwoods: Proceedings of the 1988 symposium on the conflicting consequences of practicing northern hardwood silviculture. 1998 June 9–10; Durham, NH. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-124. Broomall, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station: 17–25.
- Smith, Kenneth L. 1986. Sawmill: the story of cutting the last great virgin forest east of the Rockies. Fayetteville, AR: University of Arkansas Press. 246 p.
- Smith, R.H.; Lee, R.E., III. 1972. Black turpentine beetle. For. Pest Leaf. 12. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 8 p.
- Smith, Tim E., ed. 1993. Missouri vegetation management manual. Jefferson City, MO: Missouri Department of Conservation. 148 p.
- Smith, Tim E. 1997. Exotic plant species. In: Missouri Department of Conservation. Conservation policies and guidelines for area and resource management. Jefferson City, MO: Missouri Department of Conservation: VI-74–VI-74c.
- Smith, W. Brad. 1990. Timber resources of Missouri's Northwest Ozarks. Res. Bull. NC-114. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 44 p.
- Soulé, Michael E. 1990. The onslaught of alien species, and other challenges in the coming decades. Conservation Biology. 4(3): 233–239.
- Southern Appalachian Man and the Biosphere (SAMAB). 1996. The southern Appalachian assessment terrestrial technical report. Report 5 of 5. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region. 288 p.
- Sparks, Jeffrey C.; Masters, Ronald E.; Engle, David M. [and others]. 1998. Effects of late growing-season and late dormant-season prescribed fire on herbaceous vegetation in restored pine-grassland communities. Journal of Vegetation Science. 9: 133–142.
- Spurr, Stephen H.; Barnes, Burton V. 1980. Forest ecology. 3rd ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons. 687 p.
- Stanford, Jack A. 1970. Bobwhite quail. In: W.O. Nagel, ed. Conservation contrasts: three decades of non-political management of wildlife and forests in Missouri. Jefferson City, MO: Missouri Department of Conservation: 44–71.
- Starkey, D.A.; Cost, N.D.; May, D.M. [and others]. 1992. [Plant Pathologist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, State & Private Forestry, Pineville, LA; Project Leader, U.S.

- Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station, Asheville, NC; Research Forester, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. Forest health monitoring oak decline atlas layer. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region, State and Private Forestry, Forest Health. 12 p. [Unpublished maps on file at U.S. Forest Service, Southern Region, State and Private Forestry, Forest Health, Asheville, NC.]
- Starkey, D.A.; Oak, S.W.; Ryan, G.W. [and others]. 1989. Evaluation of oak decline areas in the South. Protection Report R8-PR-17. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, State and Private Forestry, Southern Region. 36 p.
- Sternitzke, Herbert S. 1960. Arkansas forests. Forest Surv. Rel. 84. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 58 p.
- Sternitzke, Herbert S.; Van Sickle, Charles C. 1968. East Oklahoma forests. Resource Bull. SO-14. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 32 p.
- Stevens, Russell L. 1997. The feral hog in Oklahoma. Ardmore, OK: Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation. 20 p.
- Steyermark, J.A. 1959. Vegetational history of the Ozark forest. Columbia, MO: The University of Missouri. 138 p.
- Stipes, R. Jay; Campana, Richard J., eds. 1981. Compendium of elm diseases. St. Paul, MN: The American Phytopathological Society. 96 p.
- Stout, B.B.; Deschenes, J.M.; Ohmann, L.F. 1975. Multi-species model of a deciduous forest. *Ecology*. 56: 226–231.
- Strausberg, Stephen; Hough, Walter A. 1997. The Ouachita and Ozark-St. Francis National Forests: a history of the lands and the USDA Forest Service tenure. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-121. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 45 p.
- Stringer, J.W.; Kimmerer, T.W.; Overstreet, J.C.; Dunn, J.P. 1989. Oak mortality in eastern Kentucky. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 13(2): 86–91.
- Strode, Donald D. 1977. Butternut/*Juglans cinerea* L. In: Halls, Lowell K., ed. Southern fruit-producing woody plants used by wildlife. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-16. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 149–150.
- Svejcar, Tony; Christiansen, Tony. 1987. Grazing effects on water relations of Caucasian bluestem. *Journal of Range Management*. 40(1): 15–18.
- Swain, Kenneth M., Sr.; Remion, Michael C. 1974. Direct control methods for the southern pine beetle. USDA Handb. No. 575. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 15 p.
- Tainter, F.H.; Baker, F.A. 1996. Principles of forest pathology. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.: 571–582, 646–665.
- Tainter, F.H.; Fraedrich, S.W.; Benson, J.D. 1984. The effect of climate on growth, decline, and death of northern red oaks in the western North Carolina Nantahala Mountains. *Castanea*. 49(3): 127–137.
- Tainter, F.H.; Retzlaff, W.A.; Starkey, D.A.; Oak, S.W. 1990. Decline of radial growth in oaks is associated with short-term changes in climate. *European Journal of Forest Pathology*. 20: 95–105.
- Teale, Edwin Way. 1948. Days without time. In: Krutch, Joseph Wood; Eriksson, Paul S. A treasury of birdlore. New York: Joseph Wood Krutch and Paul S. Eriksson: 377–381.
- Terborgh, J. 1989. Where have all the birds gone? Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. 207 p.
- Thatcher, R.C.; 1960. Bark beetles affecting southern pines: a review of current knowledge. Occ. Pap. 180. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 25 p.
- Thatcher, R.C.; Barry, P.J. 1982. Southern pine beetle. For. Insect & Dis. Leaf. 49. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 7 p.
- Thatcher, R.C.; Searcy, J.L.; Coster, J.E.; Hertel, G.D., eds. 1980. The southern pine beetle. Tech. Bull. 1631. Pineville, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Expanded Southern Pine Beetle Research and Applications Program. 266 p.
- Thill, Ronald E. 1984. Deer and cattle diets on Louisiana pine-hardwood sites. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 48(3): 788–798.
- Thompson, F. R., III. 1994. Temporal and spatial patterns of breeding brown-headed cowbirds in the Midwestern United States. *The Auk*. 111: 979–990.
- Thompson, F. R., III. 1995. Management of midwestern landscapes for the conservation of neotropical migratory birds. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-187. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 208 p.
- Thompson, F. R., III.; Lewis, S.J.; Green, J.; Ewert, D. 1993. Status of neotropical landbirds in the Midwest: Identifying species of management concern. In: Finch, D. M.; Stangel, P. W., eds. Status and management of neotropical migratory

- birds. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 145–158.
- Thompson, F. R., III.; Probst, J.R.; Raphael, M.G. 1995. Impacts of silviculture: overview and management recommendations. In: Martin, T. E.; Finch, D. M., eds. Ecology and management of neotropical migratory birds. New York: Oxford University Press: 201–219.
- Thompson, F. R., III.; Robinson, S.K.; Donovan, T.M. [and others]. [In press]. Biogeographic, landscape, and local factors affecting cowbird abundance and host parasitism levels. In: Cook, T.; Robinson, S.K.; Rothstein, S.I.; Sealy, S.G., eds. The ecology and management of cowbirds. Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.
- Thompson, F. R., III.; Robinson, S.K.; Whitehead, D.R.; Brawn, J.D. 1996. Management of central hardwood landscapes for the conservation of migratory birds. In: Thompson, F. R., III, ed. Management of midwestern landscapes for the conservation of neotropical migratory birds. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-187. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 117–143.
- Thornbury, William D. 1965. Regional geomorphology of the United States. New York: John Wiley and Sons. 609 pages.
- Tigner, Tim. 1992. Gypsy moth impact on Virginia's hardwood forests and forest industry. Charlottesville, VA: Virginia Department of Forestry. 35 p.
- Trimble, G.R., Jr. 1970. 20 years of intensive uneven-aged management: effect on growth, yield, and species composition in two hardwood stands in West Virginia. Res. Pap. NE-154. Upper Darby, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. 12 p.
- Trimble, G.R., Jr. 1973. The regeneration of Central Appalachian hardwoods with emphasis on the effects of site quality and harvesting practice. Res. Pap. NE-282. Upper Darby, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. 14 p.
- Triplehorn, Charles A. 1955. The Asiatic oak weevil in Delaware. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 48(3): 289–293.
- Tryon, E.H.; Powell, D.S. 1984. Root ages of advance hardwood reproduction. *Forest Ecology Management*. 8: 293–298.
- Tyrrell, Lucy E.; Nowacki, Gregory J.; Crow, Thomas R. [and others]. 1998. Information about old growth for selected forest type groups in the Eastern United States. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-197. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 507 p.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USDA FS). 1986. Land and resource management plan, Mark Twain National Forest. Milwaukee, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Eastern Region. 252 p. + appendices.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USDA FS). 1987. Final environmental impact statement for the suppression of the southern pine beetle—southern region. Manage. Bull. R8-MB2. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region. 1,627 p. Vol. I–III.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USDA FS). 1989. Interim management guide for Ozark chinquapin (*Castanea pumila* var. *ozarkensis*). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Ozark National Forest. 32 p. [Unpublished document on file at the Supervisor's office, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Ozark National Forest, Russellville, AR.]
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USDA FS). 1990. Record of decision, final environmental impact statement, vegetation management in the Ozark/Ouachita Mountains, March 5, 1990. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region. 17 p.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USDA FS). 1995a. Course to the future: positioning fire and aviation management. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Fire and Aviation Management. 30 p.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USDA FS). 1995b. Gypsy moth management in the United States: a cooperative approach: final Environmental Impact Statement. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 704 p.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USDA FS). 1996. Environmental impact statement for the renewal of the shortleaf pine/bluestem grass ecosystem and recovery of the red-cockaded woodpecker. Hot Springs, AR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 48 p.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USDA FS). [Accessed October 1997]. Fire effects information. <<http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis>> Missoula, MT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. [Various pagination].
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USDA FS). 1999a. Ozark-Ouachita Highlands Assessment: aquatic conditions. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-33. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 318 p.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USDA FS). 1999b. Ozark-Ouachita Highlands Assessment: social and

- economic conditions. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-34. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 300 p.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station (USDA FS SFES). 1956. Forests of east Oklahoma, 1955–56. Forest Surv. Rel. 79. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 34 p.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station (USDA FS SFES). 1979. Forest statistics for Arkansas counties. Resour. Bull. SO-76. New Orleans, LA: Southern Forest Experiment Station. 77 p.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station (USDA FS SFES). 1992. Forest maps of the United States. 1993 RPA Program, compact disc. Starkville, MS: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Forest Inventory and Analysis. [Not paged].
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station (USDA FS SRS). [Accessed February 1997]. Forest inventory and analysis data base retrieval system. <<http://www.srsfia.usfs.msstate.edu/scripts/ew.htm>>. Starkville, MS: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Forest Inventory and Analysis.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA NRCS). 1997. National Resource Inventory (NRI). Hot Springs, AR: Ouachita National Forest. 16 p. [Unpublished data summary on file at the Forest Supervisor's Office, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Ouachita National Forest, Hot Springs, AR.]
- Van Lear, D.; Watt, J.M. 1992. The role of fire in oak regeneration. In: Loftis, D.L.; McGee, C.E., eds. Oak regeneration: serious problems and practical recommendations. Gen. Tech. Rept. SE-84. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 66–78.
- Vasilevsky, Alexander; Essex, Burton L. 1974. Timber resources of Missouri's northwestern Ozarks. Resour. Bull. NC-22. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 59 p.
- Venator, C.R. 1985. Survival of shortleaf pine (*Pinus echinata* Mill.) seedlings as influenced by nursery handling and storage. Tree Planters' Notes. 36(4): 17–19.
- Walker, W.D. 1992. Historical perspectives on regeneration in the Ouachita and Ozark Mountains—the Ouachita National Forest. In: Brissette, J.C.; Barnett, J.P., comps. Proceedings of the shortleaf pine regeneration workshop; 1991 October 29–31; Little Rock, AR. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-90. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 12–17.
- Ward, W.W. 1966. Oak-hardwood reproduction in central Pennsylvania. Journal of Forestry. 64(11): 744–749.
- Wargo, P.M.; Houston, D.R.; LaMadeleine, L.A. 1983. Oak decline [Leaflet]. For. Insect & Dis. Leaf. 165. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 8 p.
- Weakley, Alan; Patterson, K.D.; Landaal, S., Pyne, M. 1996. International classification of ecological communities: terrestrial vegetation of the Southeastern United States. Chapel Hill, NC: The Nature Conservancy, Southeast Regional Office, Southern Conservation Science Department, Community Ecology Group. 374 p.
- Weakley, Alan; Patterson, K.D.; Landaal, Pyne, M. 1997. International classification of ecological communities: terrestrial vegetation of the Southeastern United States. Ozark Mountains/Ouachita Mountains—draft subset (alliance level). Chapel Hill, NC: The Nature Conservancy, Southeast Regional Office, Southern Conservation Science Department, Community Ecology Group. 113 p.
- Weaver, Dwight H. 1992. The wilderness underground, caves of the Ozark plateau. Columbia, MO: University of Missouri Press. 113 p.
- Weitzman, S.; Trimble, G.R., Jr. 1957. Some natural factors that govern the management of oaks. Stn. Pap. No. 88. Upper Darby, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. 40 p.
- Wells, O.O. 1969. Results of the southwide seed source study through 1968–1969. Proceedings of the tenth southern conference on forest tree improvement. 1969 June 17–19; Houston, TX. College Station, TX, Texas Forest Service: 117–129.
- Wescott, C. 1964. The gardener's bug book. New York: Doubleday. 625 p.
- White, P.H. 1979. Pattern, process, and natural disturbance in vegetation. The Botanical Review. 45: 229–299.
- Williams, G.W. 1994. [Sociologist and Social Historian, USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, OR] References on the American Indian use of fire in ecosystems. Portland, OR: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 24 p. [Unpublished report on file at U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Portland, OR.]

- Williams, Jerry. 1993. Fire related considerations and strategies in support of ecosystem management. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Fire and Aviation Management [Staff paper]. 30 p.
- Williams, Ted. 1994. Invasion of the aliens. Audubon. (Sept.–Oct.): 24–32.
- Wilson, Christopher W.; Masters, Ronald E.; Bukenhofer, George A. 1995. Breeding bird response to pine-grassland community restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*. 59(1): 56–67.
- Wilson, Louis F. 1971. Walkingstick. *Forest Pest Leaf*. 82. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 4 p.
- Winkel, Von K.; Roundy, Bruce A. 1991. Effects of cattle trampling and mechanical seedbed preparation on grass seedling emergence. *Journal of Range Management*. 44(2): 176–178.
- Winters, R.K.; Roberts, E.V. 1948a. Forest resources of the eastern Ozark region in Missouri. *Forest Surv. Rel. No. 1*. Columbus, OH: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Central States Forest Experiment Station. 21 p.
- Winters, R.K.; Roberts, E.V. 1948b. Forest resources of the northwest Ozark region in Missouri. *Forest Surv. Rel. No. 3*. Columbus, OH: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Central States Forest Experiment Station. 19 p.
- Winters, R.K.; Roberts, E.V. 1948c. Forest resources of the southwestern Ozark region in Missouri. *Forest Surv. Rel. No. 2*. Columbus, OH: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Central States Forest Experiment Station. 21 p.
- Wittwer, R.F.; Dougherty, P.M.; Cosby, D. 1986. Effects of ripping and herbicide site preparation treatments on loblolly pine seedling growth and survival. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 10(4): 253–257.
- Wittwer, R.F.; Lynch, T.B.; Huebschmann, M.M. 1996. Thinning improves growth of crop trees in natural shortleaf pine stands. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 20(4): 182–187.
- Wojcik, D.P.; Buren, W.F.; Grissell, E.E.; Cardysle, T. 1976. The fire ants (*Solenopsis*) of Florida (*Hymenoptera: Formicidae*). *Entomolog. Circ. No. 173*. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services. 4 p.
- Woods, E.D.; Wittwer, R.F.; Dougherty, P.M. [and others]. 1988. Influence of site factors on growth of loblolly and shortleaf pine in Oklahoma. Research Report No. P-900. Stillwater, OK: Oklahoma State University, Division of Agriculture, Agricultural Experiment Station. 25 p.
- Yeiser, J.L. 1986. Tree injection for early pine seedling release in the Ozark Mountains of Arkansas. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 10(4): 249–251.
- Yeiser, J.L.; Barnett, J.P. 1991. Growth and physiological response of four shortleaf pine families to herbicidal control of herbaceous competition. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 15 (4): 199–208.
- Yeiser, J.L.; Cobb, S.W. 1988. Herbicides for releasing pine seedlings in the Arkansas Ozarks. *Bull. No. 911*. Fayetteville, AR: University of Arkansas, Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station. 13 p.
- Yeiser, J.L.; Sundell, E.S.; Boyd, J.W. 1987. Preplant and postplant treatments for weed control in newly planted pine. *Bull. No. 902*. Fayetteville, AR: University of Arkansas, Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station. 10 p.
- Yocom, H.A. 1971. Shortleaf pine seed dispersal. *Journal of Forestry*. 66(5): 422.
- Yocom, H.A. 1972. Burning to reduce understory hardwoods in the Arkansas mountains. *Res. Note SO-145*. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 3 p.
- Yocom, H.A.; Lawson, E.R. 1977. Tree percent from naturally regenerated shortleaf pine. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*. 1(2): 10–11.
- Young, J.A.; Young, C.G. 1992. *Seeds of woody plants in North America*. 2nd ed. Portland, OR: Dioscoridies Press. 407 p.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 1999. Ozark-Ouachita Highlands Assessment: terrestrial vegetation and wildlife. Report 5 of 5. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-35. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 201 p.

This publication provides citizens, private and public organizations, scientists, and others with information about terrestrial animals, plants, and biological communities in and near the national forests in the Ozark-Ouachita Highlands: the Mark Twain in Missouri, the Ouachita in Arkansas and Oklahoma, and the Ozark-St. Francis National Forests in Arkansas. The document examines the status and trends of vegetation, plant and animal populations, forest management, and biological threats to forest resources in the Highlands.

Keywords: Biological threats, ecological classification, forest management, plant and animal populations, silviculture, vegetation cover.